

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
1	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Elizabeth Williams	Failure to report all income received from Williams in 2015	1-Apr-16	\$176,179	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his annual Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income he failed to report in 2015
2	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Elizabeth Williams	Failure to report all income received from Williams in 2016	15-Sep-16	\$850	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his leaving office Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Williams he failed to report in 2016
3	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Closing code enforcement case against Williams for 915 24th Street	1-Oct-15	1. \$112,000 or 2. \$6,000	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 1-Oct-15  2. A payment from Williams to Espinosa of \$12,000 on 24-Sep-15 (Attachment 5) is the closest in-time to 1-Oct-15 and is not accounted for in the \$100,000 that Williams told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment (given to Espinosa immediately prior to this payment). This check does not have a memo line and there is no accompanying invoice or bill. My linking of this payment to the act of 1-Oct-15 is approximate, not definite. I have also attributed this payment to Count 7, so I am dividing the total amount by two to arrive at \$6,000 here.
4	Bribery	2.25.070(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Soliciting money to pay an inspector to pass an electrical inspection at 857 Mead Avenue	22-Jan-16	\$300	\$5,000	\$5,000 or three times unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the amount of money that Espinosa told Williams that he paid to the inspector for this inspection (Attachments 31 & 33) and for which he billed her (Attachment 34)
5	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Submitting an electrical permit application to the Building Department on behalf of Williams for 857 Mead Avenue	1-Mar-16	1. \$175,179 or 2. \$425	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 1-Mar-16  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 3-Mar-16 of \$850. If we assume this was a payment for applying for an electrical permit (Count 5) and electrical permit (Count 6) on 1-Mar-16, then Espinosa would have been paid \$425 for each permit. My linking of this payment to the act of 1-Mar-16 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.
6	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Submitting a plumbing permit application to the Building Department on behalf of Williams for 857 Mead Avenue	1-Mar-16	1. \$175,179 or 2. \$425	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 1-Mar-16  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 3-Mar-16 of \$850. If we assume this was a payment for applying for an electrical permit (Count 5) and electrical permit (Count 6) on 1-Mar-16, then Espinosa would have been paid \$425 for each permit. My linking of this payment to the act of 1-Mar-16 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
7	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Espinosa fills out CE Routing Slip for Williams' permit application for 2735 Market Street, waiving the requirement that she submit an architectural plans approved by Zoning, confirming that the monetary valuation on her application was correct, allowing her permit to be issued over-the-counter, and waiving the requirement that she submit photos of the proposed project	22-Sep-15	1. \$100,000 or 2. \$6,000			<p>1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 22-Sep-15</p> <p>2. Espinosa received a payment from Willaims on 24-Sep-15 in the amount of \$12,000. This payment from Willaims is the closest in time to 22-Sep-15, and is not included in the \$100,000 that Willaims told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. My linking of this payment to the act of 22-Sep-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment. I have also attributed this payment to Count 3, so I am dividing the total amount by two to arrive at \$6,000 here.</p>
8	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Espinosa attempts to use his official position to influence the Building Department's decision to issue Williams an electrical permit for 2735 Market Street.	27-Oct-15	1. \$123,570 or 2. \$3,586.66	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	<p>1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 27-Oct-15</p> <p>2. Espinosa received a payment from Willaims on 16-Oct-15 in the amount of \$11,570. This payment from Williams is the closest in time to 27-Oct-15 (except for another payment on 6-Nov-15, but Espinosa provided an invoice for that payment and it did not include the cost of obtaining this permit), and is not included in the \$100,000 that Willaims told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. If we assume this was a payment for applying for an electrical permit (Count 8), building permit (Count 9) and plumbing permit (Count 10) on 27-Oct-15 then Espinosa would have been paid \$3,586.66 for each permit My linking of this payment to the act of 27-Oct-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.</p>

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
9	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Espinosa attempts to use his official position to influence the Building Department's decision to issue Williams a building permit for 2735 Market Street.	27-Oct-15	1. \$123,570 or 2. \$3,586.66			1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 27-Oct-15  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 16-Oct-15 in the amount of \$11,570. This payment from Williams is the closest in time to 27-Oct-15 (except for another payment on 6-Nov-15, but Espinosa provided an invoice for that payment and it did not include the cost of obtaining this permit), and is not included in the \$100,000 that Williams told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. If we assume this was a payment for applying for an electrical permit (Count 8), building permit (Count 9) and plumbing permit (Count 10) on 27-Oct-15 then Espinosa would have been paid \$3,586.66 for each permit My linking of this payment to the act of 27-Oct-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.
10	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Espinosa attempts to use his official position to influence the Building Department's decision to issue Williams a plumbing permit for 2735 Market Street.	27-Oct-15	1. \$123,570 or 2. \$3,586.66	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 27-Oct-15  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 16-Oct-15 in the amount of \$11,570. This payment from Williams is the closest in time to 27-Oct-15 (except for another payment on 6-Nov-15, but Espinosa provided an invoice for that payment and it did not include the cost of obtaining this permit), and is not included in the \$100,000 that Williams told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. If we assume this was a payment for applying for an electrical permit (Count 8), building permit (Count 9) and plumbing permit (Count 10) on 27-Oct-15 then Espinosa would have been paid \$3,586.66 for each permit My linking of this payment to the act of 27-Oct-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.
11	Bribery	2.25.070(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Soliciting \$300 from Williams in exchange for her permits for 2735 Market Street passing inspections.	5-Nov-15	\$300	\$5,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the amount of money that Espinosa charged Williams for the inspection (Attachment 46)
12	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Influencing the Building Department's decision to issue Williams a building permit for 877/879 27th Street	10-Nov-15	1. \$129,678 or 2. \$1,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 10-Nov-15  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 13-Nov-15 in the amount of \$6,000. This payment from Williams is the closest in time to 10-Nov-15 and is not included in the \$100,000 that Williams told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. If we assume this was a payment for applying for four permits (Counts 12-15) on 10-Nov-15 then Espinosa would have been paid \$1,500 for each permit My linking of this payment to the act of 10-Nov-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
13	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Influencing the Building Department's decision to issue Williams an electrical permit for 877/879 27th Street	10-Nov-15	1. \$129,678 or 2. \$1,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 10-Nov-15  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 13-Nov-15 in the amount of \$6,000. This payment from Williams is the closest in time to 10-Nov-15 and is not included in the \$100,000 that Williams told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. If we assume this was a payment for applying for four permits (Counts 12-15) on 10-Nov-15 then Espinosa would have been paid \$1,500 for each permit My linking of this payment to the act of 10-Nov-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.
14	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Influencing the Building Department's decision to issue Williams a mechanical permit for 877/879 27th Street	10-Nov-15	1. \$129,678 or 2. \$1,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 10-Nov-15  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 13-Nov-15 in the amount of \$6,000. This payment from Williams is the closest in time to 10-Nov-15 and is not included in the \$100,000 that Williams told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. If we assume this was a payment for applying for four permits (Counts 12-15) on 10-Nov-15 then Espinosa would have been paid \$1,500 for each permit My linking of this payment to the act of 10-Nov-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.
15	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Influencing the Building Department's decision to issue Williams a plumbing permit for 877/879 27th Street	10-Nov-15	1. \$129,678 or 2. \$1,500			1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the 12 months prior to 10-Nov-15  2. Espinosa received a payment from Williams on 13-Nov-15 in the amount of \$6,000. This payment from Williams is the closest in time to 10-Nov-15 and is not included in the \$100,000 that Williams told the PEC she loaned to Espinosa for real estate investment purposes. If we assume this was a payment for applying for four permits (Counts 12-15) on 10-Nov-15 then Espinosa would have been paid \$1,500 for each permit My linking of this payment to the act of 10-Nov-15 is approximate, not definite; no document or testimony expressly links the act to this particular payment.
16	Bribery	2.25.070(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Soliciting \$300 from Williams in exchange for building, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing permits for 877/879 27th Street passing rough inspections	1-Mar-16	\$300	\$5,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the amount of money that Espinosa charged Williams for the inspection (Attachment 34)

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
17	Bribery	2.25.070(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Soliciting \$300 from Williams in exchange for building, mechanical, electrical, and plumbing permits for 877/879 27th Street passing rough inspections	1-Mar-16	\$300	\$5,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the amount of money that Espinosa charged Williams for the inspection (Attachment 34)
18	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Influencing the Building Department's decision to issue Williams a building permit for 877/879 27th Street	14-Mar-16	1. \$177,029 or 2. \$0	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the twelve months prior to 14-Mar-16. There are no payments from Williams to Espinosa around 14-Mar-16 that we are aware of. (There is a payment of \$850 on 3-Mar-16 but that is closer in time to Espinosa's actions underlying counts 5-6, above)
19	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Influencing the Building Department's decision to issue Williams an electrical permit for 877/879 27th Street	14-Mar-16	1. \$177,029 or 2. \$0	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the twelve months prior to 14-Mar-16. There are no payments from Williams to Espinosa around 14-Mar-16 that we are aware of. (There is a payment of \$850 on 3-Mar-16 but that is closer in time to Espinosa's actions underlying counts 5-6, above)
20	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Elizabeth Williams	Influencing the Building Department's decision to issue Williams a plumbing permit for 877/879 27th Street	14-Mar-16	1. \$177,029 or 2. \$0	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Williams in the twelve months prior to 14-Mar-16. There are no payments from Williams to Espinosa around 14-Mar-16 that we are aware of. (There is a payment of \$850 on 3-Mar-16 but that is closer in time to Espinosa's actions underlying counts 5-6, above)
21	Bribery	2.25.070(A)	Bill Charman	Accepting \$1,500 from Bill Charman in exchange for resolving outstanding permit issues for 4163 Rifle Lane	9-Feb-16	\$1,500	\$5,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the amount of money that Espinosa received from Charman in exchange for resolving permit issues at 4163 Rifle Lane

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
22	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Bill Charman	Using his official position to influence the Building Department's decision to issue Charman a building permit for 4163 Rifle Lane	9-Feb-16	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Charman in the twelve months prior to taking an official act on 9-Feb-16. Espinosa also expressly conditioned his taking of that official act on receiving \$1,500 from Charman (based on Charman's testimony), so we are able to tie this particular payment directly to Espinosa's official act.
23	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Bill Charman	Using his official position to influence the Building Department's decision to issue Charman an electrical permit for 4163 Rifle Lane	9-Feb-16	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Charman in the twelve months prior to taking an official act on 9-Feb-16. Espinosa also expressly conditioned his taking of that official act on receiving \$1,500 from Charman (based on Charman's testimony), so we are able to tie this particular payment directly to Espinosa's official act.
24	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Bill Charman	Using his official position to influence the Building Department's decision to issue Charman a plumbing permit for 4163 Rifle Lane	9-Feb-16	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Charman in the twelve months prior to taking an official act on 9-Feb-16. Espinosa also expressly conditioned his taking of that official act on receiving \$1,500 from Charman (based on Charman's testimony), so we are able to tie this particular payment directly to Espinosa's official act.
25	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Bill Charman	Failure to report all income received from Charman in 2016	15-Sep-16	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his leaving office Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Charman that he failed to report in 2016
26	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Alex Machado	Failure to report all income received from Machado in 2016	15-Sep-16	\$12,850	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his leaving office Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Machado that he failed to report in 2016, according to the hearing officer's findings of fact
27	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Alex Machado	Issuing a "work-stop order" on 6220 Valley View, a property owned and being remodeled by Machado	31-Mar-16	1. \$2,400 or 2. \$4,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the amount of income Espinosa received from Machado in the 12 months prior to 31-Mar-16, as described in the Findings of Fact for this count  2. This is the next payment Machado made to Espinosa after the stop-work threat of 31-Mar-16. There is no documentary or testimony evidence directly linking this payment to the act of 31-Mar-16, so my connection here is approximate and not definite. The finder of fact did not include this payment in her calculation of \$2,400 (above)

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
28	Misuse of City Authority	2.25.060(A)	Alex Machado	Issuing a “work-stop order” on 6220 Valley View, a property owned and being remodeled by Machado, for the purpose of inducing or coercing Machado into providing Respondent with payments.	31-Mar-16	\$9,700	\$5,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	This is the total amount of money cited in the Findings of Fact associated with this count
29	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Vivian Tang	Failure to report all income received from Tang in 2015	1-Apr-16	\$24,600	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his annual Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Tang that he failed to report in 2015
30	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Closing a code enforcement case against Tang for 8925 Lawlor Street	21-Jan-15	1. \$24,600 or 2. \$2,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Tang in 2015  2. The payment of \$10,000 from Tang to Espinosa on 29-Jan-15 is the closest payment in-time to Espinosa official act of 21-Jan-15; divided between four counts, that would be \$2,500 per count. The memo line of the check indicates that it was for the Lawlor property (though it does not refer specifically to inspections or the code enforcement case)
31	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Passing an inspection for Ms. Tang’s building permit for 8925 Lawlor Street	21-Jan-15	1. \$24,600 or 2. \$2,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Tang in 2015  2. The payment of \$10,000 from Tang to Espinosa on 29-Jan-15 is the closest payment in-time to Espinosa official act of 21-Jan-15; divided between four counts, that would be \$2,500 per count. The the memo line of the check indicates that it was for the Lawlor property (though it does not refer specifically to inspections or the code enforcement case)
32	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Passing an inspection for Ms. Tang’s electrical permit for 8925 Lawlor Street	21-Jan-15	1. \$24,600 or 2. \$2,500	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Tang in 2015  2. The payment of \$10,000 from Tang to Espinosa on 29-Jan-15 is the closest payment in-time to Espinosa official act of 21-Jan-15; divided between four counts, that would be \$2,500 per count. The the memo line of the check indicates that it was for the Lawlor property (though it does not refer specifically to inspections or the code enforcement case)
33	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Passing an inspection for Ms. Tang’s plumbing permit for 8925 Lawlor Street	21-Jan-15	1. \$24,600 or 2. \$10,000	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the total amount of money that Espinosa received from Tang in 2015  2. This payment of \$10,000 from Tang to Espinosa on 29-Jan-15 is the closest payment in-time to Espinosa official act of 21-Jan-15, and the memo line of the check indicates that it was for the Lawlor property (though it does not refer specifically to inspections or the code enforcement case)

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
34	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Passing an inspection for Ms. Tang's building permit for 8925 Lawlor Street	19-Feb-15	1. \$21,500 or 2. \$2,875	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the amount of income Espinosa received from Tang in the 12 months prior to 19 Feb-15, as described in the Findings of Fact for this count 2. The nearest-in-time payment made by Tang to Espinosa is \$10,000; divided between four permits would be \$2,875 each. The memo line of the check says that it is for the Lawlor property, but there is no documentary or testimonial evidence to definitively tie this particular payment to this particular act.
35	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Passing an inspection for Ms. Tang's electrical permit for 8925 Lawlor Street	19-Feb-15	1. \$21,500 or 2. \$2,875	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the amount of income Espinosa received from Tang in the 12 months prior to 19 Feb-15, as described in the Findings of Fact for this count 2. The nearest-in-time payment made by Tang to Espinosa is \$10,000; divided between four permits would be \$2,875 each. The memo line of the check says that it is for the Lawlor property, but there is no documentary or testimonial evidence to definitively tie this particular payment to this particular act.
36	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Passing an inspection for Ms. Tang's plumbing permit for 8925 Lawlor Street	19-Feb-15	1. \$21,500 or 2. \$2,875	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the amount of income Espinosa received from Tang in the 12 months prior to 19 Feb-15, as described in the Findings of Fact for this count 2. The nearest-in-time payment made by Tang to Espinosa is \$10,000; divided between four permits would be \$2,875 each. The memo line of the check says that it is for the Lawlor property, but there is no documentary or testimonial evidence to definitively tie this particular payment to this particular act.
37	Conflict of Interest	2.25.040(A)	Vivian Tang	Passing an inspection for Ms. Tang's mechanical permit for 8925 Lawlor Street	19-Feb-15	1. \$21,500 or 2. \$2,875	\$3,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	1. This is the amount of income Espinosa received from Tang in the 12 months prior to 19 Feb-15, as described in the Findings of Fact for this count 2. The nearest-in-time payment made by Tang to Espinosa is \$10,000; divided between four permits would be \$2,875 each. The memo line of the check says that it is for the Lawlor property, but there is no documentary or testimonial evidence to definitively tie this particular payment to this particular act.
38	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Ana Siu	Failing to report income received from Ana Siu in 2015	1-Apr-16	\$66,277	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his annual Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Siu that he failed to report in 2015
39	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	One Development & Investment Corp.	Failing to report income received from ODIC in 2015	1-Apr-16	\$19,770	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his annual Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from ODIC that he failed to report in 2015
40	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	One Development & Investment Corp.	Failing to report business position in ODIC in 2015	1-Apr-16	\$130,425.16	\$1,000.00	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The findings of fact do not assign a dollar value to Espinosa's position. ODIC bank statements submitted as evidence in this case show that ODIC's gross revenue in 2015 was approximately \$130,425.16
41	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Jerry Tran	Failing to report income received from Jerry Tran in 2016	15-Sep-16	\$3,500	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his leaving office Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Tran that he failed to report in 2016



Spreadsheet of Counts and Penalty Options – Case No. 16-14

Count	Violation Type	GEA Section	Source of Funds	Description of Violation	Date of Violation	Amount	Base Penalty	Statutory Limit	Notes
42	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Pat Viswanathan	Failing to report income received from Viswanathan in 2016	15-Sep-16	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his leaving office Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Viswanathan that he failed to report in 2016
43	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Zati Uysal	Failing to report income received from Uysal in 2015	1-Apr-16	\$3,000	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his annual Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Uysal that he failed to report in 2015
44	Economic Interest Disclosure	2.25.040(B)	Apex Construction	Failing to report income received from Apex Construction in 2016	15-Sep-16	\$3,000	\$1,000	\$5,000 or three times the amount not timely reported, whichever is greater.	The date of violation is the final day that Espinosa could have filed his leaving office Form 700. The amount given is the total reportable income from Apex that he failed to report in 2016
45	Misuse of Public Resources	2.25.060(A)	City of Oakland	Use of City vehicle		No \$ value assigned	\$2,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	
46	Misuse of Public Resources	2.25.060(A)	City of Oakland	Use of City computer and printer		No \$ value assigned	\$2,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	
47	Misuse of Public Resources	2.25.060(A)	City of Oakland	Use of City cell phone		No \$ value assigned	\$2,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	
						No \$ value assigned	\$2,000	\$5,000 or three times the unlawful amount, whichever is greater.	