

WATERFRONT BALLPARK DISTRICT AT HOWARD TERMINAL

Draft Environmental Impact Report

Prepared for
City of Oakland

February 2021



WATERFRONT BALLPARK DISTRICT AT HOWARD TERMINAL

Draft Environmental Impact Report

Prepared for
City of Oakland

February 2021

180 Grand Avenue
Suite 1050
Oakland, CA 94612
510.839.5066
esassoc.com



Bend	Oakland	San Diego
Camarillo	Orlando	San Francisco
Delray Beach	Pasadena	Santa Monica
Destin	Petaluma	Sarasota
Irvine	Portland	Seattle
Los Angeles	Sacramento	Tampa

D171044

OUR COMMITMENT TO SUSTAINABILITY | ESA helps a variety of public and private sector clients plan and prepare for climate change and emerging regulations that limit GHG emissions. ESA is a registered assessor with the California Climate Action Registry, a Climate Leader, and founding reporter for the Climate Registry. ESA is also a corporate member of the U.S. Green Building Council and the Business Council on Climate Change (BC3). Internally, ESA has adopted a Sustainability Vision and Policy Statement and a plan to reduce waste and energy within our operations. This document was produced using recycled paper.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Waterfront Ballpark District at Howard Terminal, Draft Environmental Impact Report

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter 1, Introduction.....	1-1
1.1 Project Overview.....	1-1
1.2 Environmental Review Process	1-3
1.3 Organization of this Draft EIR	1-9
Chapter 2, Summary	2-1
2.1 Project Summary	2-1
2.2 Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	2-4
2.3 Summary of Alternatives.....	2-6
2.4 Areas of Controversy Raised in Scoping Comments	2-7
2.5 Issues to Be Resolved	2-8
Chapter 3, Project Description.....	3-1
3.1 Project Location and Setting.....	3-1
3.2 Project Site Existing Conditions	3-3
3.2.1 Existing Project Site Uses	3-3
3.2.2 Existing Surrounding Uses and Development.....	3-5
3.2.3 Existing Project Site Access.....	3-7
3.2.4 Existing Wharf Conditions, Utilities, and Site Conditions	3-8
3.3 Existing General Plan and Zoning	3-11
3.3.1 General Plan.....	3-11
3.3.2 Zoning	3-12
3.3.3 Existing Site Parcels and Ownership	3-12
3.3.4 Existing Jurisdictional Designations on the Site	3-14
3.4 Project Objectives.....	3-14
3.5 Site Plan and Project Characteristics.....	3-16
3.5.1 Project Overview.....	3-16
3.5.2 Major Project Components.....	3-21
3.6 Development Phasing and Intensity	3-32
3.6.1 Phasing.....	3-32
3.6.2 Development Modifications	3-35
3.6.3 Events and Attendance	3-35
3.6.4 Employment and Population	3-35
3.7 Maritime Reservation Scenario.....	3-37
3.8 Transportation and Circulation.....	3-40
3.8.1 Transportation Management Plan.....	3-42
3.8.2 Transportation and Parking Demand Management	3-43
3.8.3 Construction Management Plan.....	3-43
3.8.4 Railroad Corridor Safety Improvements	3-43

	<u>Page</u>
3.8.5 Off-Site Access Improvements to Prioritize Transit, Biking, and Walking	3-44
3.8.6 Emergency Vehicle Access.....	3-45
3.9 Trees and Landscaping	3-46
3.10 Lighting	3-47
3.11 Sustainability and Resilience	3-49
3.11.1 Sea Level Rise.....	3-49
3.11.2 GHG Emissions Reduction	3-50
3.12 Utility Infrastructure and Service	3-50
3.12.1 Water and Wastewater	3-50
3.12.2 Stormwater.....	3-51
3.12.3 Electricity and Natural Gas.....	3-54
3.12.4 Communications	3-54
3.13 Construction	3-54
3.13.1 Construction Activity and Schedule.....	3-54
3.13.2 Construction Traffic and Circulation	3-58
3.13.3 Construction Employment.....	3-58
3.14 Proposed General Plan and Planning Code Amendments	3-58
3.14.1 Oakland General Plan Amendment	3-58
3.14.2 New Waterfront Zoning District	3-59
3.15 Other Plan and Jurisdictional Amendments and Compliance	3-59
3.15.1 Seaport Plan and Bay Plan.....	3-59
3.15.2 Public Trust Exchange/Boundary	3-60
3.16 Seaport Compatibility Measures	3-60
3.17 Existing Howard Terminal Tenants	3-61
3.18 Project Variants	3-63
3.18.1 Peaker Power Plant Variant.....	3-63
3.18.2 Aerial Gondola Variant.....	3-65
3.19 Discretionary Actions and Other Planning Considerations	3-65
3.19.1 Public Agency Approvals Required.....	3-65
3.19.2 Additional Approvals for Project Variants.....	3-68
3.20 Maritime Reservation Scenario Exhibits for Comparison with the Proposed Project.....	3-68
Chapter 4, Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures	4.0-1
4.0 Introduction to the Environmental Analysis.....	4.0-1
4.1 Aesthetics, Shadow, and Wind.....	4.1-1
4.2 Air Quality.....	4.2-1
4.3 Biological Resources	4.3-1
4.4 Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources	4.4-1
4.5 Energy	4.5-1
4.6 Geology, Soils, and Paleontological Resources	4.6-1
4.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4.7-1
4.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	4.8-1
4.9 Hydrology and Water Quality.....	4.9-1
4.10 Land Use, Plans, and Policies	4.10-1
4.11 Noise and Vibration	4.11-1
4.12 Population and Housing.....	4.12-1
4.13 Public Services	4.13-1
4.14 Recreation	4.14-1
4.15 Transportation and Circulation.....	4.15-1
4.16 Utilities and Service Systems	4.16-1
4.17 Effects Found Not to Be Significant	4.17-1

	<u>Page</u>
Chapter 5, Project Variants	5-1
5.1 Peaker Power Plant Variant.....	5-5
5.2 Aerial Gondola Variant.....	5-56
5.3 Impacts of the Project plus Both Variants	5-135
5.4 Maritime Reservation Scenario.....	5-139
5.5 References	5-147
Chapter 6, Alternatives	6-1
6.1 Factors Considered in Selection of Alternatives	6-1
6.2 Alternatives Selected for Consideration.....	6-7
6.3 Comparative Analysis	6-41
6.4 Alternatives Considered but Not Analyzed in Detail in the EIR.....	6-57
6.5 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	6-60
6.6 References	6-60
Chapter 7, Impact Overview and Growth Inducement	7-1
7.1 Significant and Unavoidable Environmental Impacts	7-1
7.2 Significant Irreversible Environmental Effects.....	7-5
7.3 Growth-Inducing Impacts and Urban Decay	7-7
7.4 References	7-11
Chapter 8, Report Preparers	8-1
8.1 Lead Agency.....	8-1
8.2 Responsible Agency.....	8-1
8.3 EIR Consultants.....	8-1

List of Appendices

Appendix PRC	Public Resources Code Section 21168.6.7 and AB 1191
Appendix NOP	Notice of Preparation
Appendix DEV	Oakland Major Development Projects List – March 2019
Appendix AES	Aesthetics, Shadow and Wind Supporting Information
	AES.1 Technical Lighting Study
	AES.2 Pedestrian Wind Study
	AES.3 MRS Shadow Diagrams
Appendix AIR	Air Quality Supporting Information
	AIR.1 Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas, and Health Risk Assessment Technical Report
	AIR.2 Oakland Significance Thresholds for GHG Emissions
	AIR.3 Potential Health Effects of Air Quality Impacts
	AIR.4 Additional Information Regarding Potential Health Effects of Air Quality Impacts
	AIR.5 Potential Relocation of Existing Truck Activity to the Port Roundhouse Area
Appendix BIO	Biological Resources Supporting Information
Appendix CUL	Cultural Resources Supporting Information
	CUL.1 Architectural Resources Technical Memorandum
	CUL.2 Historical Evaluation of Crane X-422, Port of Oakland, Alameda County, California Memorandum
Appendix ENE	Energy Supporting Information
Appendix GEO	Geotechnical Conditions Report
Appendix HYD	Civil Infrastructure Technical Report

List of Appendices (continued)

Appendix NOI	Noise Supporting Information
	NOI.1 Noise Modeling Outputs
	NOI.2 Construction Vibration Calculations
	NOI.3 Traffic Noise Calculations
	NOI.4 Three Dimensional Noise Modeling of Ballpark and Concert Noise
	NOI.5 Howard Terminal Project Alternative Traffic Noise Analysis without Vehicle Trip Reduction
	NOI.6 Ballpark Noise Technical Memorandum
Appendix CNRP	Construction Noise Reduction Plan
Appendix TRA	Transportation Supporting Information
	TRA.1 Draft Transportation Management Plan
	TRA.2 Transportation and Parking Demand Management Effectiveness Analysis
	TRA.3 Intersection Operation Technical Draft Memorandum
	TRA.4 Air and Noise Forecasts
	TRA.5 Site Assessment and Construction Assessment
	TRA.6 Transit Analysis
	TRA.7 Port of Oakland Intersection Operations Sensitivity Analysis

List of Figures

3-1	Project Location Map	3-2
3-2	Close-in Aerial of Project Site and Surroundings	3-4
3-3	Context Aerial of Project Site and Surroundings	3-6
3-4	Existing Site Constraints, Quay Wall and Wharf	3-10
3-5	Existing Property Ownership	3-13
3-6	Phasing Plan	3-17
3-7	Illustrated Phase 1 Site Plan	3-18
3-8	Illustrated Buildout Site Plan	3-19
3-9	Land Use Plan	3-22
3-10	Maximum Building Massing and Height Plan	3-23
3-11	Aerial View Looking West from Jack London Square/Waterfront Warehouse District	3-24
3-12	Conceptual Design of the Ballpark	3-25
3-13	Parks, Plaza and Open Space Program and Design	3-27
3-14	View Approach to Ballpark from Jack London Square - Water Street	3-29
3-15	Bay Trail Connection	3-30
3-16	3D Maximum Massing Program	3-33
3-17	Illustrated Phase I Site Plan – Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-38
3-18	Illustrated Buildout Site Plan – Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-39
3-19	New Site Trees and Landscaped Detail	3-48
3-20	Preliminary Stormwater Treatment Plan	3-52
3-21	Variant Key Plan	3-64
3-6.MRS	Phasing Plan - Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-69
3-9.MRS	Land Use Plan - Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-70
3-10.MRS	Maximum Building Massing and Height Plan - Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-71

List of Figures (continued)

3-13.MRS	Parks, Plazas and Open Space Program and Design - Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-72
3-15.MRS	Bay Trail Connection - Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-73
3-16.MRS	3D Maximum Massing Program - Maritime Reservation Scenario.....	3-75
3-19.MRS	New Site Trees and Landscaped Detail - Maritime Reservation Scenario.....	3-77
3-20.MRS	Preliminary Stormwater Treatment Plan - Maritime Reservation Scenario	3-78
4.1-1	Westward View toward the Project Site from the Intersection of Water Street and Clay Street.....	4.1-3
4.1-2	View Looking Northeast from the South Terminus of Martin Luther King Jr. Way adjacent to the Project Site.....	4.1-4
4.1-3	View Looking Southeast toward the Oakland Jack London Square Ferry Terminal adjacent to the Project Site.....	4.1-4
4.1-4	View Looking West from the Jack London Square Marina	4.1-5
4.1-5	View Looking Northeast from the San Francisco Bay Ferry on the Estuary ...	4.1-5
4.1-6	View Looking Southwest toward the Project Site from the Mountain View Cemetery	4.1-6
4.1-7	Aerial View of the Project Site	4.1-7
4.1-8	Southwest View toward Project Site from Martin Luther King Jr. Way.....	4.1-8
4.1-9	View Looking North from the Intersection of Water Street and Clay Street	4.1-9
4.1-10	Key Viewpoint Map	4.1-26
4.1-11	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 1 (Existing and Phase 1) Westward View Across Project Site from the Intersection of Water Street and Clay Street.....	4.1-27
4.1-12	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 1 (Existing and Full Buildout) Westward View Across Project Site from the Intersection of Water Street and Clay Street.....	4.1-28
4.1-13	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 2 (Existing and Phase 1) Southwest View toward Project Site from Martin Luther King Jr. Way	4.1-30
4.1-14	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 2 (Existing and Full Buildout) Southwest View toward Project Site from Martin Luther King Jr. Way.....	4.1-31
4.1-15	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 3 (Existing and Phase 1) Southeast View toward Project Site from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge	4.1-33
4.1-16	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 3 (Existing and Full Buildout) Southeast View toward Project Site from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge	4.1-34
4.1-17	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 4 (Existing and Phase 1) Southwest View toward Project Site from Mountain View Cemetery.....	4.1-35
4.1-18	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 4 (Existing and Full Buildout) Southwest View toward Project Site from Mountain View Cemetery	4.1-36
4.1-19	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 5 (Existing and Phase 1) Northeast View toward Project Site from the San Francisco Bay Ferry on the Oakland Estuary	4.1-37
4.1-20	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 5 (Existing and Full Buildout) Northeast View toward Project Site from the San Francisco Bay Ferry on the Oakland Estuary	4.1-38
4.1-21	Light and Glare Receptor Locations	4.1-45
4.1-22	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Phase 1 Fall Equinox (September 21) – Daylight Savings Time	4.1-54
4.1-23	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Phase 1 Winter Solstice (December 21) – Standard Time.....	4.1-55

List of Figures (continued)

4.1-24	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Phase 1 Spring Equinox (March 21) – Daylight Savings Time	4.1-56
4.1-25	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Phase 1 Summer Solstice (June 21) – Daylight Savings Time	4.1-57
4.1-26	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Buildout Fall Equinox (September 21) – Daylight Savings Time	4.1-58
4.1-27	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Buildout Winter Solstice (December 21) – Standard Time	4.1-59
4.1-28	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Buildout Spring Equinox (March 21) – Daylight Savings Time	4.1-60
4.1-29	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Buildout Summer Solstice (June 21) – Daylight Savings Time	4.1-61
4.1-30	Pedestrian Wind Hazard – Existing Conditions.....	4.1-65
4.1-31	Pedestrian Wind Hazard – Phase 1	4.1-66
4.1-32	Pedestrian Wind Hazard – Full Buildout	4.1-67
4.1-33	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Buildout Maritime Reservation Scenario Winter Solstice (December 21) – Standard Time.....	4.1-72
4.1-34	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 1 (Existing and Cumulative) Westward View Across Project Site from the Intersection of Water Street and Clay Street.....	4.1-74
4.1-35	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 2 (Existing and Cumulative) Southwest View toward Project Site from Martin Luther King Jr. Way.....	4.1-75
4.1-36	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 3 (Existing and Cumulative) Southeast View toward Project Site from the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge	4.1-76
4.1-37	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 4 (Existing and Cumulative) Southwest View toward Project Site from Mountain View Cemetery	4.1-77
4.1-38	Visual Simulation for Key Viewpoint 5 (Existing and Cumulative) Northeast View toward Project Site from the San Francisco Bay Ferry on the Oakland Estuary	4.1-78
4.1-39	Pedestrian Wind Hazard – Cumulative	4.1-82
4.3-1	Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area	4.3-3
4.3-2	Marine Biological Resources Study Area.....	4.3-4
4.4-1	Known and Potential Historic Resources	4.4-2
4.6-1	Geotechnical Zone Plan	4.6-2
4.6-2	Schematic of Geologic Cross-Section of Project Site	4.6-3
4.6-3	Regional Faults.....	4.6-5
4.8-1	Onsite Investigation Areas.....	4.8-2
4.8-2	Onsite Areas with Screening Level Exceedances – Soil Gas	4.8-12
4.8-3	Onsite Areas with Screening Level Exceedances – Soil.....	4.8-13
4.8-4	Onsite Areas with Screening Level Exceedances – Groundwater	4.8-14
4.8-5	Onsite Wells and Hazardous Materials Sites	4.8-16
4.9-1	Preliminary Site Grading Plan.....	4.9-31
4.10-1	Surrounding Land Uses	4.10-3
4.10-2	Port of Oakland Seaport Facilities	4.10-6
4.10-3	Existing Public Trust Configuration	4.10-12
4.10-4	Original BCDC Jurisdiction and Subsequent Fill.....	4.10-14
4.10-5	Existing General Plan and Estuary Policy Plan Land Use Designations.....	4.10-25
4.10-6	Existing Zoning	4.10-28
4.10-7	Section Showing the Line-of-Site Between Receptor Locations 2, 2B, and 2C and the Proposed Project Outfield Lighting Fixture	4.10-41

List of Figures (continued)

4.10-8a	On-site Cumulative Cancer Risk (2024)	4.10-47
4.10-8b	On-site Cumulative PM2.5 Concentration (2024)	4.10-48
4.10-9	Proposed Public Trust Configuration	4.10-54
4.10-10	Maritime Reservation Scenario Proposed Public Trust Configuration	4.10-66
4.11-1	Effects of Noise on People	4.11-4
4.11-2	Noise Monitoring Locations	4.11-10
4.11-3	Noise Contour Map Baseball Game	4.11-46
4.11-4	Noise Contour Map Music Event	4.11-49
4.13-1	OFD Facilities	4.13-3
4.13-2	OFD 2018 Response Times (in minutes) – Downtown/Lake Merritt Area.....	4.13-6
4.13-3	OFD 2018 Response Times (in minutes) – Waterfront Area South of UPRR Tracks.....	4.13-7
4.13-4	OPD Police Areas and Beats.....	4.13-9
4.14-1	Parks and Open Space in the Project Vicinity	4.14-3
4.15-1	Motor Vehicle Influence Area.....	4.15-3
4.15-2	Transit Influence Area.....	4.15-4
4.15-3	Bicycle Influence Area	4.15-5
4.15-4	Pedestrian Influence Area	4.15-6
4.15-5	Existing Transit Service	4.15-11
4.15-6	Existing and Planned Bicycle Network.....	4.15-21
4.15-7	Existing Sidewalk Gaps and Pedestrian High Injury Network	4.15-25
4.15-8	Existing Oakland–Alameda County Coliseum Geographic Distribution of Oakland A’s Game Attendees Who BART (Weekday Evening Game).....	4.15-32
4.15-9	Existing Oakland–Alameda County Coliseum Geographic Distribution of Oakland A’s Game Attendees Who Drive (Weekday Evening Game).....	4.15-33
4.15-10	Existing Oakland–Alameda County Coliseum Geographic Distribution of Oakland A’s Game Attendees Who Drive and Use BART (Weekday Evening Game).....	4.15-34
4.15-11	Existing Parking Garages Available for Public Use (within one mile of the project site).....	4.15-37
4.15-12	Market Street and Martin Luther King Jr. Way Gate Downtimes	4.15-40
4.15-13	Port of Oakland Access	4.15-43
4.15-14	On-Site Street Types Plan	4.15-83
4.15-15	On-Site Mobility Access Plan.....	4.15-84
4.15-16	Howard Terminal Automobile Routing	4.15-89
4.15-17	Howard Terminal Potential Transit Routing	4.15-90
4.15-18	Howard Terminal Bicycle/Scooter Routing	4.15-91
4.15-19	Howard Terminal Pedestrian Routing	4.15-92
4.15-20	Howard Terminal Truck Routing	4.15-95
4.15-21	Howard Terminal Overall Mobility Access Plan	4.15-96
4.15-22	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals Key Map.....	4.15-99
4.15-23	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 1	4.15-100
4.15-24	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 2	4.15-101
4.15-25	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 3	4.15-102
4.15-26	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 4	4.15-103
4.15-27	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 5	4.15-104
4.15-28	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 6	4.15-105
4.15-29	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 7	4.15-106
4.15-30	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 8	4.15-107
4.15-31	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 9	4.15-108
4.15-32	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 10	4.15-109

List of Figures (continued)

4.15-33	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 11	4.15-110
4.15-34	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 12	4.15-111
4.15-35	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 13	4.15-112
4.15-36	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 14	4.15-113
4.15-37	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 15	4.15-114
4.15-38	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 16	4.15-115
4.15-39	Off-Site Transportation Improvement Proposals – Grid 17	4.15-116
4.15-40	Weekday Evening Game Anticipated Geographic Distribution of Oakland A’s Game Attendees at Howard Terminal	4.15-166
4.15-41	Non-Ballpark Development PM Peak Hour Volumes - Automobiles	4.15-169
4.15-42	Non-Ballpark Development PM Peak Hour Volumes - Bicycles	4.15-170
4.15-43	Non-Ballpark Development PM Peak Hour Volumes - Pedestrians.....	4.15-171
4.15-44	Ballpark Weekday Evening Game Volume and Distribution - Automobiles	4.15-172
4.15-45	Ballpark Weekday Evening Game Total Arrivals - Bicycles	4.15-173
4.15-46	Ballpark Weekday Evening Arrivals - Pedestrians	4.15-174
4.15-47	Plan Consistency Conflicts	4.15-231
4.15-48	Pedestrian and Bike Overpass at Jefferson Street	4.15-237
4.15-49	Pedestrian and Bike Overpass at Clay Street.....	4.15-238
4.16-1	EBMUD Wastewater Service Area	4.16-2
4.16-2	Current Wastewater Collection System	4.16-5
4.16-3a	Current Stormwater Drainage Collection System	4.16-9
4.16-3b	Current Drainage System Collection Areas	4.16-10
4.16-3c	Proposed Stormwater Drainage Realignment and Outfall Detail	4.16-11
4.16-4	Proposed Wastewater Collection System.....	4.16-27
4.16-5a	Proposed Stormwater Drainage Collection System.....	4.16-31
4.16-5b	Proposed Stormwater Drainage Collection Areas.....	4.16-32
5-1	Variant Key Plan	5-2
5-2	Maximum Building Massing Model and Height Plan – Variants.....	5-3
5-3	Peaker Power Plant Site Plan.....	5-8
5-4	Existing Peaker Power Plant Site – Aerial	5-9
5-5	Existing North Wing and Smoke Stacks	5-10
5-6	Proposed Battery Energy Storage System Layout Plan	5-11
5-7	PG&E Station C API	5-12
5-8	Visual Simulations – Variants from Project Viewpoints.....	5-17
5-9	Shadow Diagram – Existing and Project with the Peaker Power Plant Variant	5-21
5-10	Shadow Diagram – Existing, Project with the Peaker Power Plant Variant, and Cumulative.....	5-22
5-11	Conceptual Grading – Peaker Power Plant Variant.....	5-52
5-12	Conceptual Ropeline Plan and Profile	5-57
5-13	Conceptual Tower Plan and Section.....	5-59
5-14a	Jack London Square Station Layout	5-61
5-14b	Jack London Square Station Sections	5-62
5-15a	Convention Center Station Layout (Option 1).....	5-63
5-15b	Convention Center Station Sections (Option 1).....	5-64
5-15c	Convention Center Station Sections (Option 1).....	5-65
5-16	Convention Center Station Layout (Option 2).....	5-66
5-17	Jack London Square Station Ground Plan.....	5-70
5-18	Convention Center Station Ground Plan (Option 1).....	5-71
5-19	Convention Center Station Ground Plan (Option 2).....	5-72

Page**List of Figures (continued)**

5-20	Gondola Variant Study Area	5-74
5-21	Visual Simulation of the Jack London Square Gondola Station	5-83
5-22	Visual Simulation of the Convention Center Station	5-84
5-23	Shadow from Jack London Square Station.....	5-87
5-24a	Shadow from Convention Center Gondola Station (Option 1)	5-88
5-24b	Shadow from Convention Center Gondola Station (Option 2)	5-89
5-25	Shadow Diagrams – Existing, Aerial Gondola Variant Jack London Square Station, and Cumulative JLS Station	5-93
5-26	Shadow Diagrams – Existing, Aerial Gondola Variant Convention Center Station, and Cumulative.....	5-94
5-2.MRS	Maximum Building Massing Model and Height Plan – Variants and Maritime Reservation Scenario.....	5-141
6-1	Location of Off Site (Coliseum Area) Alternative	6-12
6-2	Grade Separation Alternative - Market Street Alignment	6-23
6-3	Grade Separation Alternative – Brush Street Alignment.....	6-24
6-4	Grade Separation Alternative – Brush Street Undercrossing Option Alignment – Illustrative Site Plan	6-26
6-5	Reduced Project Alternative	6-37
6-6	Reduced Project Alternative with the Maritime	6-38

List of Tables

2-1	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Project.....	2-9
3-1	Development Scenario and Parking by Phase Use/Size/Capacity	3-20
3-2	Anticipated Average Annual Event Characteristics.....	3-36
3-3	Estimated Employment by Other Team Operations.....	3-36
3-4	Required Permits and Approvals Anticipated for the Proposed Project	3-66
3-5	Additional Required Permits and Approvals for Project Variants	3-68
4.1-1	Wind Speeds at the Oakland International Airport between 1987 and 2017 ...	4.1-12
4.1-2	Viewpoint Locations.....	4.1-25
4.1-3	Spill Light at Adjacent and Nearby Receptor Locations (lux).....	4.1-46
4.1-4	Nighttime Glare from Façade Lighting at Adjacent and Nearby Receptor Locations	4.1-48
4.1-5	Glare from Ballpark Signage at Adjacent and Nearby Receptor Locations...	4.1-49
4.1-6	Summary of Project Wind Test Results	4.1-64
4.1-7	Summary of Cumulative Wind Test Results	4.1-81
4.2-1	Air Quality Data Summary (2015–2019) for Oakland West Monitoring Station	4.2-3
4.2-2	Air Quality Index Statistics for Alameda County.....	4.2-13
4.2-3	Ambient Air Quality Standards and San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin Attainment Status	4.2-14
4.2-4	Average Daily Unmitigated Construction Emissions by Year.....	4.2-63
4.2-5	Average Daily Mitigated Construction Emissions by Year	4.2-70
4.2-6	Total Unmitigated Average Daily and Annual Operational Emissions by Source for Existing A’s-Related Emissions and Project Phase 1 and Buildout.....	4.2-72
4.2-7	Total Unmitigated Annual and Average Daily Operational Emissions by Year	4.2-73
4.2-8	Summary of Potential Additional Emission Reductions by Mitigation Measure	4.2-85

List of Tables (continued)

4.2-9	Total Annual and Average Daily Combined Mitigated Construction and Mitigated Operational Emissions by Year	4.2-87
4.2-10	Unmitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the Existing Off-Site MEIR	4.2-100
4.2-11	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the Existing Off-Site MEIR	4.2-107
4.2-12	Unmitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the New On-Site MEIR	4.2-111
4.2-13	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the New On-Site MEIR	4.2-117
4.2-14	Average Daily Unmitigated Construction Emissions by Area, Activity, and Year for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-122
4.2-15	Average Daily Mitigated Construction Emissions by Area, Activity, and Year for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-123
4.2-16	Total Unmitigated Annual and Average Daily Operational Emissions by Year for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-124
4.2-17	Total Annual and Average Daily Combined Mitigated Construction and Mitigated Operational Emissions by Year for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-126
4.2-18	Unmitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the Existing Off-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-128
4.2-19	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the Existing Off-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-129
4.2-20	Unmitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the New On-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-130
4.2-21	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration of the Proposed Project at the New On-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario	4.2-132
4.2-22	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the Existing Off-Site MEIR Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach	4.2-146
4.2-23	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the Existing Off-Site MEIR Using the Detailed WOCAP Modeling Approach	4.2-148
4.2-24	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the New On-Site MEIR Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach	4.2-152
4.2-25	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the New On-Site MEIR Using the Detailed WOCAP Modeling Approach	4.2-153
4.2-26	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the Existing Off-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach	4.2-161

List of Tables (continued)

4.2-27	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the Existing Off-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario Using the WOCAP Approach.....	4.2-162
4.2-28	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the New On-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach	4.2-163
4.2-29	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM _{2.5} Concentration at the New On-Site MEIR for the Maritime Reservation Scenario Using the WOCAP Approach.....	4.2-164
4.4-1	Age-Eligible Potential Architectural Resources in the Study Area	4.4-11
4.5-1	PG&E-Owned Electricity Generating Sources	4.5-5
4.5-2	PG&E Renewable Energy Sources in 2017.....	4.5-6
4.5-3	Project Construction Energy Resource Use	4.5-29
4.5-4	Project Operational Energy Use (Annual).....	4.5-31
4.5-5	Maritime Reservation Scenario Construction Energy Resource Use.....	4.5-44
4.6-1	Subsurface Profile	4.6-4
4.7-1	State of California Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	4.7-8
4.7-2	Oakland Core Citywide GHG Emissions (MMTCO _{2e})	4.7-10
4.7-3	Emission Factors Over Time	4.7-43
4.7-4	A's-Related Existing Conditions Emissions in 2018 and First Operational Year of Phase 1 and Full Buildout Total Annual GHG Emissions by Source (MTCO _{2e} /year).....	4.7-51
4.7-5	Project Construction Emissions	4.7-52
4.7-6	Total Annual Project Operational Emissions at Phase 1 and Full Buildout (MTCO _{2e} /Year).....	4.7-54
4.7-7	Annual Net Additional Emissions (MTCO _{2e} /Year) Over 30-Year Project Lifetime	4.7-55
4.7-8	Summary of ECAP Actions that Are Relevant to the Project	4.7-68
4.7-9	Marine Reservation Scenario: Construction Emissions	4.7-80
4.7-10	Marine Reservation Scenario: Total Annual Operational Emissions at Phase 1 and Full Buildout (MTCO _{2e} /Year).....	4.7-81
4.8-1	Federal Laws and Regulations Related to Hazardous Materials Management.....	4.8-24
4.8-2	State Laws and Regulations Related to Hazardous Materials Management.....	4.8-25
4.8-3	Estimated Soil Volumes for Removal during Remediation Activities.....	4.8-43
4.9-1	Projected Sea Level Rise and Future Base Flood Elevations for Oakland Inner Harbor	4.9-8
4.10-1	Oakland Inner Harbor Channel Arrivals, Departures, & Use of the Turning Basin, 2018.....	4.10-7
4.11-1	Typical Noise Levels.....	4.11-2
4.11-2	Monitored Noise Environments within the Project Area	4.11-8
4.11-3	Generalized Vibration Levels (in VdB) from Locomotive Powered Passenger or Freight Activity.....	4.11-13
4.11-4	Sensitive Receptors within 0.5 Miles of the Project Site	4.11-14
4.11-5	Summary of Noise Levels Requisite to Protect Public Health and Welfare with an Adequate Margin of Safety.....	4.11-15
4.11-6	FTA Groundborne Vibration Impact Criteria	4.11-16

List of Tables (continued)

4.11-7	Land Use Noise Compatibility Guidelines – City of Oakland	4.11-18
4.11-8	Maximum Allowable Receiving Noise Standards for Specified Land Uses, dBA (from stationary sources)	4.11-20
4.11-9	Maximum Allowable Receiving Noise Standards for Temporary Construction or Demolition Activities, dBA.....	4.11-21
4.11-10	Acceptable Noise Levels in Alameda.....	4.11-24
4.11-11	City of Alameda Exterior Noise Standards.....	4.11-25
4.11-12	Typical Maximum Noise Levels from Construction Equipment	4.11-29
4.11-13	Noise Levels from Phase 1 Weekday Daytime Construction Activities at Sensitive Receptors in the Project Area	4.11-30
4.11-14	Noise Levels from Project Phase 1 Nighttime Construction Activities at Sensitive Receptors in the Project Area	4.11-35
4.11-15	Daytime Noise Levels from Buildout Construction Activities at Sensitive Receptors in the Project Area	4.11-37
4.11-16	Daytime Noise Levels from Buildout Construction Activities at Phase 1 Sensitive Receptors.....	4.11-38
4.11-17	Vibration Levels for Construction Activity.....	4.11-43
4.11-18	Modeled Ballpark Noise Levels with a Baseball Event	4.11-47
4.11-19	Modeled Ballpark Noise Levels with a Concert Event.....	4.11-48
4.11-20	Modeled Traffic Noise Levels with Weekday p.m. Full Buildout of Project Mixed Uses (Excludes Ballgame/Event)	4.11-54
4.11-21	Modeled Traffic Noise Levels with Weekday p.m. Full Buildout of Project Mixed Uses Plus Pre-Ballgame Traffic	4.11-55
4.11-22	Modeled Traffic Noise Levels with Nighttime scenario (10:00 to 11:00 p.m.): Full Buildout of Project Mixed Uses Plus Post-Ballgame Traffic.....	4.11-56
4.11-23	Estimated Crowd Noise along Primary Pedestrian Routes.....	4.11-59
4.11-24	Modeled Traffic Noise Levels Year 2040 with Weekday p.m. Full Buildout of Project Mixed Uses (Excludes Ballgame)	4.11-69
4.11-25	Modeled Traffic Noise Levels Year 2040 with Weekday p.m. Full Buildout of Project Mixed Uses Plus Pre-Ballgame Traffic	4.11-71
4.11-26	Modeled Traffic Noise Levels Year 2040 with Nighttime scenario (10:00 to 11:00 p.m.): Full Buildout of Project Mixed Uses Plus Post-Ballgame Traffic.....	4.11-73
4.12-1	Trends in Population Growth for the City of Oakland and Bay Area Region (1990–2040).....	4.12-2
4.12-2	Housing Units, Households, and Household Size in Oakland and Bay Area Region (1990–2040)	4.12-3
4.12-3	Trends in Employment Growth in the City and Region	4.12-5
4.12-4	Current Employment at the Coliseum for A’s-Related Activities	4.12-7
4.12-5	Final Regional Housing Needs Allocation 2015–2023	4.12-8
4.12-6	Oakland Downtown & Jack London Square PDA Growth.....	4.12-9
4.12-7	On-Site Residents.....	4.12-15
4.12-8	Phase I and Full Buildout Project Employment.....	4.12-17
4.13-1	2018 OPD Response Times Citywide.....	4.13-10
4.13-2	OPD Staffing for Oakland A’s Baseball Games	4.13-11
4.13-3	2018 OPD-Involved Incidents for Sporting Events at the Oakland Coliseum and Oracle Arena.....	4.13-12
4.13-4	Estimated Student Generation.....	4.13-30
4.13-5	Estimated Student Capacity at OUSD Schools in Project Vicinity	4.13-31
4.14-1	Surveyed City Park Maintenance Conditions Near the Project Site.....	4.14-5

List of Tables (continued)

4.15-1	AC Transit Lines near the Project Site	4.15-14
4.15-2	AC Transit Passenger Load Characteristics (Weekday 4 p.m. to 6 p.m.) ...	4.15-15
4.15-3	BART Station Peak-Hour Entries and Exits (Weekday).....	4.15-16
4.15-4	BART Peak-Hour Loads by Line.....	4.15-17
4.15-5	Data Sources Used for Ballpark Trip Generation.....	4.15-31
4.15-6	Existing Oakland–Alameda County Coliseum BART Ridership Calculations	4.15-35
4.15-7	Existing Oakland–Alameda County Coliseum Ballpark Mode of Access	4.15-35
4.15-8	Existing Parking Characteristics	4.15-36
4.15-9	Gate Down Times at Howard Terminal.....	4.15-39
4.15-10	Distribution of Truck Volumes to Seaport Access.....	4.15-46
4.15-11	Existing Weekday Cut-Through Average Hourly Volumes Through the Port of Oakland and Total Passenger Vehicle Volumes	4.15-47
4.15-12	Hourly Truck Trips at Howard Terminal and Schnitzer Steel	4.15-48
4.15-13	Existing Commute Mode of Travel, Vehicle Trips, and Parking Demand....	4.15-81
4.15-14	7th Street Corridor Transportation Improvements.....	4.15-118
4.15-15	2nd Street Corridor Transportation Improvements.....	4.15-120
4.15-16	I-880/5th Street/Adeline Street Corridor Transportation Improvements ...	4.15-122
4.15-17	Market Street Corridor Transportation Improvements.....	4.15-124
4.15-18	Martin Luther King Jr. Way Corridor Transportation Improvements.....	4.15-127
4.15-19	Washington Street Corridor Transportation Improvements.....	4.15-128
4.15-20	Broadway Corridor Transportation Improvements	4.15-130
4.15-21	Jefferson Street and Clay Street Corridor Transportation Improvements .	4.15-132
4.15-22	Embarcadero West Corridor Transportation Improvements	4.15-133
4.15-23	Transportation Management Plan Strategies and Effectiveness – Ballpark.....	4.15-142
4.15-24	Transportation and Parking Demand Management Plan Consistency with City Requirements – Non-Ballpark Development	4.15-144
4.15-25	Transportation and Parking Demand Management Strategies and Plan Effectiveness Non-Ballpark Development.....	4.15-147
4.15-26	Automobile Trip Generation – Non-Ballpark Development	4.15-160
4.15-27	Trip Generation by Travel Mode – Non-Ballpark Development without TDM Plan.....	4.15-161
4.15-28	Trip Generation by Travel Mode – Non-Ballpark Development with TDM Plan.....	4.15-161
4.15-29	Automobile Trip Generation for Ballpark.....	4.15-167
4.15-30	Attendees by Travel Mode – Ballpark without TMP	4.15-167
4.15-31	Attendees by Travel Mode – Ballpark with TMP	4.15-168
4.15-32	Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled per Capita	4.15-179
4.15-33	City of Oakland VMT per Service Population – Full Accounting	4.15-180
4.15-34	Travel Characteristics at Oakland Arena and the Performance Venue....	4.15-181
4.15-35	TDM Plan VMT Reduction for Performance Venue Event Days	4.15-182
4.15-36	Non-Ballpark Development Transportation and Parking Demand Management Plan Consistency with City’s Transportation Impact Review Guidelines.....	4.15-84
4.15-37	Existing Travel Characteristics at the Oakland–Alameda County Coliseum and Oakland Arena.....	4.15-190
4.15-38	Project Ballpark Travel Characteristics – without TMP	4.15-191
4.15-39	Project Ballpark Travel Characteristics – with TMP	4.15-192
4.15-40	Project Ballpark – Annual VMT per Attendee	4.15-193

List of Tables (continued)

4.15-41	Consistency Analysis List of Planned Improvements to the Transportation Network Within the Study Influence Areas (Figure 4.15-1 through Figure 4.15-4)	4.15-202
4.15-42	Project-Related Railroad Crossing Volumes Buildout Plus Weekday Evening Ballgame	4.15-233
4.16-1	Proposed Project Buildout Sewer Demand	4.16-26
4.16-2	Existing and Proposed Stormwater Collection Area Runoff Rates by Phase	4.16-29
4.16-3	EBMUD Mid-Cycle Demand Projections	4.16-30
4.16-4	Preliminary EBMUD Baseline Supply and Demand Analysis	4.16-34
4.16-5	Proposed Project Buildout Solid Waste Generation	4.16-35
5-1	Average Daily Mitigated Construction Emissions by Year Peaker Power Plant Variant (Project + Peaker Power Plant)	5-23
5-2	Total Unmitigated Annual and Average Daily Operational Emissions by Year for the Peaker Power Plant Variant (Project + Peaker Power Plant)	5-25
5-3	Total Annual and Average Daily Combined Mitigated Construction and Mitigated Operational Emissions by Year for the Peaker Power Plant Variant (Project + Peaker Power Plant)	5-27
5-4	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration of the Peaker Power Plant Variant at the Existing Off-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor	5-29
5-5	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration of the Peaker Power Plant Variant (Project + Peaker Power Plant) at the New On-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor	5-31
5-6	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the Existing Off-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Peaker Power Plant Variant (Project + Peaker Power Plant) Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach	5-33
5-7	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the Existing Off-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Peaker Power Plant Variant Using the WOCAP Approach	5-34
5-8	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-Cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the New On-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Peaker Power Plant Variant (Project + Peaker Power Plant) Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach	5-36
5-9	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the New On-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Peaker Power Plant Variant Using the WOCAP Approach	5-38
5-10	Peaker Power Plant Variant Construction Energy Resource Use	5-45
5-11	Estimated Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Peaker Power Plant Variant (Project + Peaker Power Plant) at Full Buildout	5-49
5-12	Average Daily Mitigated Construction Emissions by Pollutant and Year for the Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola)	5-95
5-13	Total Unmitigated Annual and Average Daily Operational Emissions by Year for the Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola)	5-97

	<u>Page</u>
List of Tables (continued)	
5-14	Total Annual and Average Daily Combined Mitigated Construction and Mitigated Operational Emissions by Year for the Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola) 5-98
5-15	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration of the Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola) at the Existing Off-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor 5-101
5-16	Mitigated Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Chronic Hazard Index, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration of the Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola) at the New On-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor 5-102
5-17	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the Existing Off-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Aerial Gondola Variant Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach 5-104
5-18	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the Existing Off-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Aerial Gondola Variant Using the WOCAP Approach 5-105
5-19	Summary of Cumulative Excess Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the New On-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola) Using the Standard BAAQMD Approach 5-108
5-20	Summary of Cumulative Lifetime Cancer Risk, Non-cancer Chronic Risk, and Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration at the New On-Site Maximally Exposed Individual Receptor for the Aerial Gondola Variant Using the WOCAP Approach 5-109
5-21	Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola) Construction Energy Resource Use 5-118
5-22	Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola) Operational Energy Resource Use 5-120
5-23	Estimated Emissions for Aerial Gondola Variant (Project + Gondola) at Full Buildout (Year 8) 5-122
5-24	Summary of New and/or Different Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Project with Variants 5-143
6-1	Comparison of Alternative 2: Off-Site (Coliseum Area) Alternative with the Similar CASP EIR alternative 6-13
6-2	Comparison of Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per Attendee at the Coliseum and per Attendee at the Ballpark Proposed with the Proposed Project 6-21
6-3	Comparison of Alternative 4: Reduced Project Alternative with the Proposed Project 6-35
6-4	Comparison of Impacts of the Project and Alternatives 6-42
6-5	Comparison of Key Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Impacts 6-52

This page intentionally left blank