



Oakland City Planning Commission

MINUTES

Tom Limon, Chair
Clark Manus, Vice-Chair
Amanda Monchamp
Jonathan Fearn
Nischit Hegde
Leopold Ray-Lynch
Sahar Shirazi

September 2, 2020

SPECIAL Meeting

BUSINESS MEETING

3:00 PM

Via: Tele-Conference

PURSUANT TO THE GOVERNOR’S EXECUTIVE ORDER 4-29-20, ALL MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, CITY ATTORNEY AND PLANNING BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL JOIN THE MEETING VIA PHONE/VIDEO CONFERENCE AND NO TELECONFERENCE LOCATIONS ARE REQUIRED.

MEETING CALL TO ORDER

Limon

ROLL CALL

Commissioners Present:

Clark Manus, Nischit Hegde, Sahar Shirazi, Jonathan Fearn
Leopold Ray-Lynch, Amanda Monchamp, Tom Limon

WELCOME BY THE CHAIR

Limon

SECRETARY RULES OF CONDUCT

Catherine Payne

COMMISSION BUSINESS

- Agenda Discussion None
- Director’s Report None
- Informational Reports California College for Arts (CCA) (ER19003)

Staff Planner: Rebecca Lind gave a verbal presentation of the project.

Applicant: John Klausan and Marc Babsin gave a PDF presentation

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

1. Leslie Curral 2. Janice C. Brewer. 3. Ann Winterman 4. David Peters. 5. Myrna Walter
6. Nick Gomez 7. Michael Reardon 8. Amelia Marshal. 9. Jonathan Evans 10. Naomi Shiff
11. Deidra Moss 12. Richard Shapiro. 13. John Gatewood. 14. Nicole Lazzaro. 15. Susan Shawl
16. Kiek Peterson. 17. Liat Zavodivker. 18. Mary Harper. 19. Carrie Modi 20. Leslie
21. Mathew Myers 22. Manuel Zapata 23. Emily Lesk 24. Edward Giodano 25. Nico Nagle



- 26. Mark Halbert 27. Pamela Grove 28. Paul Bickmore. 29. Sarah Golden. 30. Daniel Levy
- 31. Mary Pala-Fox 32. Marko Z 33. Jennifer McElrath 34. SK Trauss (Sonya). 35. Adam Weiss
- 36. Theo Gordon 37. Tim R. 38. Savlan Hauser 39. Sam Deutsch. 40. Isaac Deutsch.
- 41. Yousef N. 42. Joshua Jakus 43. Eric G. 44. Paul Bickmore. 45. Larry Meyers 46. Danya Winter
- 47. Bruce Goldberg 48. Tim Rooney 49. Ariele Schariff 50. Scott Simmons

Conclusion: Project will go to the Design Review Committee to for comments on project architecture

- Committee Reports None
- Commission Matters None
- City Attorney’s Report None

OPEN FORUM

At this time members of the public may speak on any item of interest within the Commission’s jurisdiction. At the discretion of the Chair, speakers are generally limited to two minutes or less if there are six (6) or less speakers on an item, and one minute or less if there are more than six (6) speakers.

CONSENT CALENDAR

The Commission will take a single roll call vote on all of the items listed below in this section. The vote will be on approval of the staff report and recommendation in each case. Members of the Commission may request that any item on the Consent Calendar be singled out for separate discussion and vote.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

The hearing provides opportunity for all concerned persons to speak; the hearing will normally be closed after all testimony has been heard. If you challenge a Commission decision in court, you will be limited to issues raised at the public hearing or in correspondence delivered to the Planning and Building Department, at, or prior to, the public hearing.

The Commission will then vote on the matter based on the staff report and recommendation. If the Commission does not follow the staff recommendation and no alternate findings for decision have been prepared, then the vote on the matter will be considered a “straw” vote, which essentially is a non-binding vote directing staff to return to the Commission at a later date with appropriate findings for decision and, as applicable, conditions of approval that the Commission will consider in making a final decision.

If you wish to be notified on the decision of an agenda item, please indicate the case number and submit a self-addressed stamped envelope, for each case.



APPEALS

The Commission will take testimony on each appeal. If you challenge a Commission decision in court, you will be limited to issues raised at the public hearing or in correspondence delivered to the Planning and Building Department, at, or prior to, to the public hearing; provided, however, such issues were previously raised in the appeal itself.

Following the testimony, the Commission will vote on the staff report and recommendation. If the Commission reverses/overturns the staff decision and no alternate findings for decisions have been prepared, then the vote on the matter will be considered a “straw” vote, which essentially is a non-binding vote directing staff to return to the Commission at a later date with appropriate findings for decision and, as applicable, conditions of approval that the Commission will consider in making a final decision. Unless otherwise noted, the decisions in the following matters are final and not administratively appealable. Any party seeking to challenge these decisions in court must do so within ninety (90) days of the date of the announcement of the final decision, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1094.6, unless a shorter period applies.

COMMISSION BUSINESS

- Approval of Minutes None
- Correspondence None
- City Council Actions Manus requested info on CM Kalb’s Leadership and the disposition of the Dorms.

ADJOURNMENT at 6:34PM

CATHERINE PAYNE
Acting Development Planning Manager
Planning and Building Department

NEXT REGULAR MEETING: September 23, 2020