HOUSING, RESIDENTIAL RENT AND RELOCATION BOARD REGULAR MEETING

October 26, 2017 7:00 P.M. CITY HALL, HEARING ROOM #1 ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA OAKLAND, CA

AGENDA

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. ROLL CALL
- 3. CONSENT ITEMS
 - i. Approval of minutes, October 12, 2017
- 4. OPEN FORUM
- 5. NEW BUSINESS
 - i. Appeal Hearing in Case:
 - a. T15-0549; De Tar v. Walker
 - b. Discussion and Possible Actions on Amendments to Just Cause for Eviction Regulations
- **6.** SCHEDULING AND REPORTS
- **7.** ADJOURNMENT

Accessibility. The meeting is held in a wheelchair accessible facility. Contact the office of the City Clerk, City Hall, One Frank Ogawa Plaza, or call (510) 238–3611 (voice) or (510) 839–6451 (TTY) to arrange for the following services: 1) Sign interpreters; 2) Phone ear hearing device for the hearing impaired; 3) Large print, Braille, or cassette tape text for the visually impaired. The City of Oakland complies with applicable City, State and Federal disability related laws and regulations protecting the civil rights of persons with environmental illness/multiple chemical sensitivities (EI/MCS). Auxiliary aids and services and alternative formats are available by calling (510) 238-3716 at least 72 hours prior to this event.

Foreign language interpreters may be available from the Equal Access Office (510) 239-2368. Contact them for availability. Please refrain from wearing **strongly scented products** to this meeting.

Service Animals / Emotional Support Animals: The City of Oakland Rent Adjustment Program is committed to providing full access to qualified persons with disabilities who use services animals or emotional support animals.

If your service animal lacks visual evidence that it is a service animal (presence of an apparel item, apparatus, etc.), then please be prepared to reasonably establish that the animal does, in fact, perform a function or task that you cannot otherwise perform.

If you will be accompanied by an emotional support animal, then you must provide documentation on letterhead from a licensed mental health professional, not more than one year old, stating that you have a mental health-related disability, that having the animal accompany you is necessary to your mental health or treatment, and that you are under his or her professional care.

Service animals and emotional support animals must be trained to behave properly in public. An animal that behaves in an unreasonably disruptive or aggressive manner (barks, growls, bites, jumps, urinates or defecates, etc.) will be removed.

CITY OF OAKLAND HOUSING, RESIDENTIAL RENT AND RELOCATION BOARD

Regular Meeting October 12, 2017 7:00 p.m. City Hall, Hearing Room #1 One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Oakland, CA

DRAFT MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER

The HRRRB was called to order at 7:05 p.m. by Board Chair, Jessie Warner.

2. ROLL CALL

MEMBER	STATUS	PRESENT	ABSENT	EXCUSED
Ramona Chang	Owner		X	,
Jessie Warner	Homeowner	X		
Karen Friedman	Owner		\mathbf{X}	
Benjamin Scott	Owner Alt	X		
Robert Stone	Homeowner	X	e.	
Terry Sandoval	Tenant	X		
Mary Jo Cook	Homeowner			X
Debbie Mesaros	Tenant	\mathbf{X}		
Edward Lai	Homeowner A	At X		

Staff Present

Kent Qian Deputy City Attorney
Connie Taylor Rent Adjustment Program Manager
Luz Buitrago Deputy City Attorney

3. CONSENT ITEMS

i. Approval of consent items:

R. Stone made a motion to approve consent minutes for September 28, 2017. E. Lai seconded. The Board voted as follows:

AYE: J. Warner; R. Stone, D. Mesaros, E. Lai, J. Warner, T. Sandoval

NAY: 0

ABSTAINED: 0

The motion was approved by consensus.

4. OPEN FORUM

Jill Broadhurst

5. NEW BUSINESS

- i. Appeal Hearing in consolidated cases:
 - a. T16-0269; Attarzadeh v. Lin L15-0060; Lin v. Tenant

Appearances:

Tenant Representative

Dave Bremer

Property Owner Representative

Jill Broadhurst

Rebuttal

Both parties offered rebuttal.

Board Discussion

After discussion and questions to both parties, E. Lai made a motion to affirm the Hearing Officer's decisions based on substantial evidence. B. Scott seconded. The Board voted as follows:

AYE: J. Warner, R. Stone, B. Scott, D. Mesaros, T. Sandoval, E. Lai

NAY: 0

ABSTAINED:0

The motion carried by consensus.

b. T16-0076; Lee v. Millar

This case to be rescheduled due to conflict of interest with property owner Board member.

c. T16-0296; Stewart v. WFGP LLC

Appearances: Owner Appeal

Property Owner Representative

Antoinette Ortiz

Tenant

Rhayeka Stewart

Rebuttal

Both parties offered rebuttal.

Board Discussion

After Board discussion and questions to both parties, E. Lai made a motion to deny the appeal based on lack of good cause for non-appearance by owner. R. Stone seconded. The Board voted as follows:

Aye: J. Warner, R. Stone, E. Lai, D. Mesaros, T. Sandoval, B. Scott

Nay: 0 Abstain: 0

The motion was approved by consensus.

d. Discussion and Possible Action on Amendments to the Rent Ordinance Substantial Rehabilitation Exemption

A Report to the Board was presented by the Rent Adjustment Program Manager. Deputy City Attorney Luz Buitrago admonished the Board regarding public comments that refer to open cases that may come before the Board.

Speakers on item 5d:

Leah Simon-Weisberg
Hilda Chen
Chelsea Hartigan
Lauren Krepa
Megan Spiral
Kendra Edwards
Jonah Strauss
Melissa Colon
Sarah Jystad
Carolyn Pulvino

Sam Greenspan Mark Lichterman Symon Chan Steve Edrington James Vann Ted Wong Susan Schacker Eddie Ytuarte

Board Discussion

After Board discussion, R. Stone made a motion to impose an immediate moratorium pending further study of potential impacts. T. Sandoval seconded. E. Lai offered a friendly amendment that the moratorium be no less than 90 days and no more than one year. The moratorium would apply to this motion and the alternative motions. R. Stone accepted the amendment. The Board voted as follows:

Aye: T. Sandoval, D. Mesaros, E. Lai, R. Stone

Nay: J. Warner Abstained: B. Scott

The motion passed.

J. Warner made a motion to stay beyond 10 p.m. The Board voted as follows.:

Aye: J. Warner, R. Stone, E. Lai, T. Sandoval, D. Mesaros, B. Scott

Nay: 0 Abstain: 0

The motion carried by consensus.

Alternative Motions

Board discussion continued and J. Warner made a motion to eliminate substantial rehabilitation as an exemption. D. Mesaros seconded. The Board voted as follows:

Aye: D. Mesaros, J. Warner, T. Sandoval

Nay: R. Stone, E. Lai, B. Scott

Abstained: 0

The motion failed.

B. Scott made a motion that the substantial rehabilitation exemption apply only to empty or abandoned buildings and units that are vacant. In the occupied units, the tenants would be protected from the exemption. E. Lai seconded. The Board voted as follows:

Aye: B. Scott, E. Lai

Nay: R. Stone, J. Warner, T. Sandoval, D. Mesaros

Abstained: 0

The motion failed.

6. SCHEDULING AND REPORTS

1. Proposed Just Cause Regulations to be agendized for a regular meeting on October 26, 2017.

7. ADJOURNMENT

J. Warner made a motion to adjourn. The meeting adjourned by consensus at 10:45 p.m.

CHRONOLOGICAL CASE REPORT

Case No.:

T15-0549

Case Name:

De Tar v. Walker

Property Address:

1837 13th Street, Oakland, CA

Parties:

Laura De Tar & Sara Jane Keskula (Tenants)

Rahman Muhammad (Owner Representative)

PROPERTY OWNER APPEAL:

<u>Activity</u> <u>Date</u>

Tenant Petition filed October 14, 2015

Landlord Response filed November 16, 2015

Hearing Decision issued March 18, 2016

Owner Appeal filed March 23, 2016

		y mais now that here that the
City of Oakland		2016 MAR 23 NM 9: 32
Residential Rent Adjustment Program		Enterior Co.
250 Frank Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5313		APPEAL
Oakland, California 94612		
(510) 238-3721		
Appellant's Name		
Rahman Muhammac Property Address (Include Unit Number)		Agent for Landlord
Property Address (Include Unit Number)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1831 13th Ave, Oa		, OA 94606
Appellant's Mailing Address (For receipt of notice	s) Case	Number —
8053 Hansom Drive, Oakland		T15-0549
94605		of Decision appealed
	7 ¹ 160 Representation	ve's Mailing Address (For notices)
, and the second	. topi oociitatii	ro a maining Address (For Hotioes)
N/A		
TY/H		
 The decision is inconsistent with OMC decisions of the Board. You must identify the Or specify the inconsistency. The decision is inconsistent with decision. 	dinance section ons issued b	on, regulation or prior Board decision(s) and y other hearing officers. You must identify
the prior inconsistent decision and explain how the	decision is inc	consistent.
3. The decision raises a new policy issue to provide a detailed statement of the issue and why to the installation of th	hat has not be he issue shou	peen decided by the Board. You must all did be decided in your favor.
4. The decision is not supported by substantial evidence found in the cas but sections of audio recordings must be pre-designation.	e record. The	ce. You must explain why the decision is not entire case record is available to the Board, Adjustment Staff.
5. I was denied a sufficient opportunity to You must explain how you were denied a sufficient presented. Note that a hearing is not required in ex sufficient facts to make the decision are not in disp	opportunity ai ery case. Sta	nd what evidence you would have
6. The decision denies me a fair return on been denied a fair return and attach the calculation	my investme s supporting y	nt. You must specifically state why you have our claim.
		•

Revised 5/29/09

7. Other. You i	must attach a detailed explanation of your grou	nds for appeal. Submis	sions to the Board
are limited to 25 pag pages consecutively.	es from each party. Number of pages attached	Please nu	mber attached
, 200 mail or deposited it	erve a copy of your appeal on the oppose eclare under penalty of perjury under the late of the perjury under the late of the perjury and all at with a commercial carrier, using a service are or charges fully prepaid, addressed to ear	ws of the State of Ca tached pages, in the	lifornia that on United States
<u>Name</u>	Laura DeTar		
Address	1831 13th Ane		
City, State Zip	Organd, of 94606		
<u>Name</u>	Sara Jane Kesku	la	
Address	1837 13tR Auc		
City, State Zip	Oakland, CA 94606		
SIGNATURE OF APPE	Achief ELLANT or DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE	DATE 3/2	3/16

IMPORTANT INFORMATION:

This appeal must be <u>received</u> by the Rent Adjustment Program, 250 Frank Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5313, Oakland, California 94612, not later than 5:00 P.M. on the 20th calendar day after the date the decision was mailed to you as shown on the proof of service attached to the decision. If the last day to file is a weekend or holiday, the time to file the document is extended to the next business day.

- Appeals filed late without good cause will be dismissed.
- You <u>must</u> provide all of the information required or your appeal cannot be processed and may be dismissed.
- Anything to be considered by the Board must be received by the Rent Adjustment Program by 3:00 p.m. on the 8th day before the appeal hearing.
- The Board will not consider new claims. All claims, except as to jurisdiction, must have been made in the petition, response, or at the hearing.
 - The Board will not consider new evidence at the appeal hearing without specific approval.
- You must sign and date this form or your appeal will not be processed.

March 23, 2016

To Appeal Board:

There was no pictures or supporting Documentations about the windows not opening. There were several contractor that worked on the mindows that have totally different versions of the Operability of those undows. There were suidences presented hosides the testimonies about the water heater not working. I have several tenants that line in the same building that would the Julistantiale that the water header was knocked out by Lawa's work undomath the house located in close proximity of the hot water houser It was not a dysfucntional of a water heaten, but of han unauthorized of her work underneath the house _ 000011



P.O. BOX 70243, OAKLAND, CA 94612-2043

CITY OF OAKLAND

Department of Housing and Community Development Rent Adjustment Program

TEL (510) 238-3721 FAX (510) 238-6181 TDD (510) 238-3254

HEARING DECISION

CASE NUMBER:

T15-0549, DeTar v. Walker

PROPERTY ADDRESS:

1837 - 13th Ave, Oakland, CA

DATE OF HEARING:

February 26, 2016

DATE OF DECISION:

March 18, 2016

APPEARANCES:

Laura DeTar (Tenant)

Sara Jane Keskula (Tenant)

Rahman Muhammad (Agent for Owner)

SUMMARY OF DECISION

The tenant's petition is granted.

CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES

Tenant DeTar filed a petition on October 14, 2015, which alleges that a proposed rent increase from \$850 to \$925, effective September 1, 2015, exceeds the CPI Adjustment and is unjustified or is greater than 10%; that she has never received the form Notice to Tenants (RAP Notice); that at present there exists a health, safety, fire or building code violation in her unit; and that her housing services have been decreased due to conditions stated in a Notice of Violation, which was attached to her petition, as follows: "Wooden window frame broken/deteriorated at living room and bedroom window . . ." and "Water heater installed without permits . . ."

The owner filed a response to the petition, which alleges that the proposed rent increase is justified by banking, increased housing service costs, and capital improvements; that the tenant was first given the RAP Notice on November 2, 2015; and denies that the tenant's housing services have decreased.

THE ISSUES

- (1) When, if ever, did the tenant receive the RAP Notice?
- (2) Have the tenant's housing services been decreased and, if so, by what percentage of the total housing services that are provided by the owner?

EVIDENCE

<u>RAP Notice</u>: At the Hearing, the parties agreed that the tenants were first given the RAP Notice in November 2015.

Rent Increase Notice: Both the tenant's petition and owner's response state that Ms. DeTar moved into the unit on July 15, 2013, at a rent of \$850 per month. At the Hearing, the tenants testified that they did not wish to contest the rent increase notice in question. They and the owner's agent agreed that the tenants have paid increased rent of \$925 per month from September 2015 through the date of the Hearing.

Decreased Housing Services:

<u>Windows:</u> At the Hearing, the parties agreed that there are 2 windows in the living room and one in the bedroom. There is also a small window in both the kitchen and bathroom. Tenant DeTar testified that, at the start of her tenancy, both living room windows were painted shut, and could not be opened at all. The bedroom window would not stay open unless it was propped open with a board, and the bottom frame of the bedroom window was very loose. These problems were obvious, and when Ms. DeTar moved into the unit, Mr. Muhammad told her that these windows would be replaced. Mr. Muhammad did not deny that this conversation occurred.

Mr. De Tar further testified that she cut the paint on the other living room window as best as she could, but it could only be opened approximately 4 inches because of the many layers of paint. The other living room window could not be opened at all. Ms. DeTar further testified that, from the start of her tenancy, the bedroom window would not stay open unless it was propped open with a board. Additionally, the bottom frame of the bedroom window was very loose. As a result, there was very little ventilation in the unit.

The tenants submitted a Notice of Violation issued by the City inspection services agency following an inspection on March 4, 2015. This Notice states, in part: "Wooden window frame broken/deteriorated at living room and bedroom window. Repair or replace window frames . . ." The parties agreed that the living room and bedroom windows were replaced on July 24, 2015.

Hot Water Heater: Tenant DeTar testified that, beginning in February 2014, the pilot light on the hot water heater in the basement would go out at least once a week. When this happened, she had no hot water. She immediately reported this to Mr. Muhammad, the owner's agent. The tenant submitted a number of emails addressed from and to Laura DeTar and Rahman Muhammad, from August 22, 2013 through February 23, 2015.² At the Hearing, Mr.

¹ Exhibit No. 1, which was admitted into evidence without objection.

Muhammad testified that one cannot tell from these emails whether Ms. DeTar in fact sent these emails to him. The email dated August 26, 2013, from Mr. Muhammad to Ms. DeTar, states: "Please call me 220 8888." This is the same telephone number written on the owner's response as being Mr. Muhammad's number.

The email dated January 27, 2015 states, in part: "The water heater in the basement still needs some work so that the pilot light will not go out all the time. . . the water heater is missing the outer burner door that protects the pilot light from the winds . . . Jose began working on the water heater with some scrap metal . . . day 2 of the fix the pilot light had blown out again and I had a cold shower . . . The water heater part needs to be replaced . . ." At the Hearing, Mr. Muhammad testified that the water heater was always in good condition, and the problem was caused by Ms. DeTar going in and out of the basement, which created a draft. Ms. DeTarbut testified that the hot water heater was repaired in April 2015. Mr. Muhammad did not contest this testimony.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

<u>RAP Notice</u>: In view of the agreement of the parties, it is found that the tenant first received the RAP Notice in November 2015.

<u>Decreased Housing Services</u>: Under the Rent Adjustment Ordinance, a decrease in housing services is considered to be an increase in rent³ and may be corrected by a rent adjustment.⁴ However, in order to justify a decrease in rent, a decrease in housing services must be either the elimination or reduction of a service that existed at the start of the tenancy or a violation of the housing or building code which seriously affects the habitability of the tenant's unit.

There is also a time limit for claiming decreased housing services. A tenant petition must be filed within 60 days after the date of service of a rent increase notice or change in the terms of a tenancy or the date the tenant first receives the RAP Notice, whichever is later.⁵

If a tenant has not received the RAP Notice more than 60 days before filing a petition, he or she can be granted restitution for rent overpayments due to decreased housing services for a maximum of 3 years. In this case, the tenants were not given a RAP Notice until after filing the petition. Therefore, the tenants may be granted restitution from the start of the tenancy on July 15, 2013.

<u>Windows:</u> Section 15.08.22(C) of the Oakland Building Maintenance Code states: "Habitable rooms shall be provided with natural ventilation . . ." Ventilation provides fresh air as well as reducing the possibility of mold and mildew in a unit. The owner's agent was well aware of the problem with the windows at the start of the tenancy, and the windows should

² Exhibit Nos. 2A through 2K. Mr. Muhammad objected to the admission of these documents into evidence because one cannot tell from them if Ms. DeTar sent these emails to him. The objection was overruled, and the documents were admitted into evidence.

³ O.M.C. Section 8.22.070(F)

⁴ O.M.C. Section 8.22.110(E)

⁵ O.M.C. Section 8.22.090(A)(2)

⁶ Appeal Decision in Case No. T06-0051, <u>Barajas/Avalos v. Chu</u>

reasonably have been replaced within 2 months, being September 15, 2013. The delay of nearly 2 full years reduced the housing services by 10% during that time. Therefore, as set forth on the Table below, the tenants overpaid rent.

Hot Water Heater: Reliable hot water is obviously a basic housing service, and the repair was quite simple and inexpensive. The owner's failure to remedy this problem reduced the housing services by 5% from February 1, 2014 through April 2015. As set forth on the Table below, the tenants overpaid rent.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The tenants overpaid rent in the amount of \$2,592.50. The overpayment is ordered repaid over a period of 12 months.⁷ The rent is temporarily reduced by \$216.04 per month, to \$708.96 per month, beginning with the rent payment in April 2016 and ending with the rent payment in March 2017.

VALUE OF LOST SERVICES

Service Lost	From	То	Rent	% Rent Decrease	Decrease /month	No. Months	Overpaid
Windows	15-Sep-13	24-Jul-15	\$850	10%	\$ 85.00	23	\$1,955.00
Hot Water	1-Feb-14	30-Apr-15	\$850	5%	\$ 42.50	15	\$ 637.50
				ТС	OTAL LOST SI	ERVICES	\$2,592.50

RESTI	TUT	ION
-------	-----	-----

	MONTHLY RENT					
TOTAL TO	BE REF	PAID TO TENANT	\$2,592.50			
TOTAL A	S PERC	ENT OF MONTHLY RENT	357%			
AMORTIZED OVER	12	MO. BY REG. IS	\$216.04			

ORDER

- 1. Petition T15-0549 is granted.
- 2. The Base Rent is \$925 per month.
- 3. Because of past decreased housing services, the tenants overpaid rent in the amount of \$2,592.50. This overpayment is adjusted by a rent reduction for 12 months.
- 4. The rent is temporarily reduced by \$216.04 per month, to \$708.96 per month, beginning with the rent payment in April 2016 and ending with the rent payment in March 2017.
- 5. In April 2017, the rent will increase to \$925 per month.
- 6. <u>Right to Appeal</u>: This decision is the final decision of the Rent Adjustment Program Staff. Either party may appeal this decision by filing a properly completed appeal using the

⁷ Regulations, Section 8.22.110(F)

form provided by the Rent Adjustment Program. The appeal must be received within twenty (20) calendar days after service of the decision. The date of service is shown on the attached Proof of Service. If the Rent Adjustment Office is closed on the last day to file, the appeal may be filed on the next business day.

Dated: March 18, 2016

Stephen Kasdin

Hearing Officer

Rent Adjustment Program

and "

PROOF OF SERVICE Case Number T15-0549

I am a resident of the State of California at least eighteen years of age. I am not a party to the Residential Rent Adjustment Program case listed above. I am employed in Alameda County, California. My business address is 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5313, 5th Floor, Oakland, California 94612.

Today, I served the attached Hearing Decision by placing a true copy of it in a sealed envelope in City of Oakland mail collection receptacle for mailing on the below date at 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5313, 5th Floor, Oakland, California, addressed to:

Tenant

Laura DeTar 1837 13th Ave Oakland, CA 94606

Owner

Kathy Walker 5420 Bacon RD Oakland, CA 94619 Kathy Walker 8053 Hansom Dr Oakland, CA 94605 Rahman Mohammed 8053 Hansom Dr Oakland, CA 94605

I am readily familiar with the City of Oakland's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice an envelope placed in the mail collection receptacle described above would be deposited in the United States mail with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with first class postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on March 18, 2016 in Oakland, CA.

Stephen Kasdin

CITY OF OAKLAND RENT ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

P.O. Box 70243 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 5313 Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 238-3721 For filing stamp.

OF USA

2815 NOV 16 AM 10:53

<u>Please Fill Out This Form As Completely As You Can.</u> Failure to provide needed information may result in your response being rejected or delayed.

Case Number T	-15-0549

OWNER RESPONSE

CHSE TIOMBER 1 7 V	. <u> </u>	THEIR REGI OTIGE
Please print legibly.		
Your Name	Complete Address (with zip code)	- 12 at
Kathy Walker	9420 Bacon Rd.	Phone: 510.378, 6730
)	Dakland, CA 9469	Email:
Your Representative's Name (if any)	Complete Address (with zip code)	Phone: 510. 220.8888
Ranman Muhamma	8053 Hansom Dr.	Fax:
Manager	Dalland, CA94005	Email:
Tenant(s) name(s)	Complete Address (with zip code)	
Laura De lar	1837 -13th Ave. Dakland, CA 94606	
Have you paid for your Oakland Bus	, i	1
(Provide proof of payment.)	mess Electise: Tes in 140 El 14time	loi
Have you paid the Rent Adjustment (Provide proof of payment.)	Program Service Fee? (\$30 per unit) Yes	No □
There are residential units	in the subject building. I acquired the bui	lding on 1 124 20 3
Is there more than one street address	on the parcel? Yes \ No □.	
I. RENTAL HISTORY		
The tenant moved into the rental unit	on July 15, 2013	
The tenant's initial rent including all	services provided was \$ 850°°/n	nonth.
Have you (or a previous Owner) give RESIDENTIAL RENT ADJUSTM YesNoI don't knowIf	n the City of Oakland's form entitled NOTENT PROGRAM ("RAP Notice") to all yes, on what date was the Notice first give	of the petitioning tenants?
Is the tenant current on the rent? Yes	X No	p
If you believe your unit is exempt fro	m Rent Adjustment you may skip to Section	on IV. EXEMPTION.

Rev. 2/25/15

If a contested increase was based on Capital Improvements, did you provide an Enhanced Notice to	
Tenants for Capital Improvements to the petitioning tenant(s)? Yes No If yes, on what	t
date was the Enhanced Notice given? AUG. 1. 2015 Did you submit a copy of the Enhanced Notice	e
to the RAP office within 10 days of serving the tenant? YesNoNo Not applicable: there was	S
no capital improvements increase.	

Begin with the most recent rent increase and work backwards. Attach another sheet if needed.

Gi	Notice ven	Ef	Increase fective	Amount Rent Increased		Did you provide NOTICE TO TENANTS with the			
(mo/day	//year)	(mo/d	day/year)		From		Го	notice of rent i	ncrease?
811	2015	9/	1 2015	\$	8500	\$ (725"		□ No
			·	\$		\$		□ Yes	□ No
				\$		\$		□ Yes	□ No
				\$		\$		□ Yes	□ No
			r.	\$		\$		□ Yes	□ No
				\$		\$		□ Yes	□ No

II. JUSTIFICATION FOR RENT INCREASE

You must prove that each contested rent increase greater than the Annual CPI Adjustment is justified and was correctly served. Use the following table and check the applicable justification(s) box for each increase contested by the tenant(s) petition. For a summary of these justifications, please refer to the "Justifications for Increases Greater than the Annual CPI Rate" section in the attached Owner's Guide to Rent Adjustment.

<u>Date of</u> <u>Increase</u>	Banking (deferred annual increases_)	Increased Housing Service Costs	Capital Improve- ments	Uninsured Repair Costs	Fair Return	Debt Service (if purchased before 4/1/14)
9/1/15	X	A	K			
				· 🗀		
				i	. .	
		. 0				

For each justification checked, you must submit organized documents demonstrating your entitlement to the increase. Please see the "Justifications" section in the attached Owner's Guide for details on the type of documentation required. In the case of Capital Improvement increases, you must include a copy of the "Enhanced Notice to Tenants for Capital Improvements" that was given to tenants. Your supporting documents do not need to be attached here, but are due in the RAP office no later than seven (7) days before the first scheduled Hearing date.

III. DECREASED HOUSING SERVICES

If the petition filed by your tenant claims **Decreased Housing Services**, state your position regarding the tenant's claim(s) of decreased housing services on a separate sheet. Submit any documents, photographs or other tangible evidence that supports your position.

Γ	V	. E	$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}$	EN	ИP	TI	ON

If you c	laim that your property is exempt from Rent Adjustment (Oakland Municipal Code Chapter 8.22),
please (check one or more of the grounds:
	The unit is a single family residence or condominium exempted by the Costa Hawkins Rental
	Housing Act (California Civil Code 1954.50, et seq.). If claiming exemption under Costa-
	Hawkins, please answer the following questions on a separate sheet:
1.	Did the prior tenant leave after being given a notice to quit (Civil Code Section 1946)?
2.	Did the prior tenant leave after being given a notice of rent increase (Civil Code Section 827)?
3.	Was the prior tenant evicted for cause?
4.	Are there any outstanding violations of building housing, fire or safety codes in the unit or building?
5.	Is the unit a single family dwelling or condominium that can be sold separately?
6.	Did the petitioning tenant have roommates when he/she moved in?
7.	If the unit is a condominium, did you purchase it? If so: 1) from whom? 2) Did you purchase the entire
	building?
	The rent for the unit is controlled , regulated or subsidized by a governmental unit, agency or authority other than the City of Oakland Rent Adjustment Ordinance.
	The unit was newly constructed and a certificate of occupancy was issued for it on or after January 1, 1983.
	On the day the petition was filed, the tenant petitioner was a resident of a motel, hotel, or boarding house for less than 30 days.
	The subject unit is in a building that was rehabilitated at a cost of 50% or more of the average
	basic cost of new construction.
	The unit is an accommodation in a hospital, convent, monastery, extended care facility,
	convalescent home, non-profit home for aged, or dormitory owned and operated by an
	educational institution.
	The unit is located in a building with three or fewer units. The owner occupies one of the units continuously as his or her principal residence and has done so for at least one year.

V. IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Time to File. This form must be received by the Rent Adjustment Program, P.O. Box 70243, Oakland, CA 94612-0243, within 35 days of the date that a copy of the Tenant Petition was mailed to you. (The date of mailing is shown on the Proof of Service attached to the Tenant Petition and other response documents mailed to you.) A postmark does not suffice. If the RAP office is closed on the last day to file, the time to file is extended to the next day the office is open. If you wish to deliver your completed Owner Response to the Rent Adjustment Program office in person, go to the City of Oakland Housing Assistance Center, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor, Oakland, where you can date-stamp and drop your Response in the Rent Adjustment drop box. The Housing Assistance Center is open Monday through Friday, except holidays, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. You cannot get an extension of time to file your Response by telephone.

NOTE: If you do not file a timely Response, you will not be able to produce evidence at the Hearing, unless you can show good cause for the late filing.

<u>File Review.</u> You should have received a copy of the petition (and claim of decreased services) filed by your tenant with this packet. Other documents provided by the tenant will not be mailed to you. You may review additional documents in the RAP office by appointment. For an appointment to review a file or to request a copy of documents in the file call (510) 238-3721.

VI. VERIFICATION

Owner must sign here:

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that all statements made in this Response are true and that all of the documents attached hereto are true copies of the originals.

Owner's Signature

Date

VII. MEDIATION AVAILABLE

Your tenant may have signed the mediation section in the Tenant Petition to request mediation of the disputed issues. Mediation is an entirely voluntary process to assist the parties to reach an agreement on the disputed issues in lieu of a Rent Adjustment hearing.

If the parties reach an agreement during the mediation, a written Agreement will be prepared immediately by the mediator and signed by the parties at that time. If the parties fail to settle the dispute, the case will go to a formal Rent Adjustment Program Hearing, usually the same day. A Rent Adjustment Program staff Hearing Officer serves as mediator unless the parties choose to have the mediation conducted by an outside mediator. If you and the tenant(s) agree to use an outside mediator, please notify the RAP office at (510) 238-3721. Any fees charged by an outside mediator for mediation of rent disputes will be the responsibility of the parties requesting the use of their services. (There is no charge for a RAP Hearing Officer to mediate a RAP case.)

Mediation will be scheduled only if both parties request it – after both the Tenant Petition and the Owner Response have been filed with the Rent Adjustment Program. The Rent Adjustment Program will not schedule a mediation session if the owner does not file a response to the petition. (Rent Board Regulation 8.22.100.A.)

If you want to schedule your case for mediation, sign below.

I agree to have my case mediated by a Rent Adjustment Program Staff Hearing Officer (no charge).

Owner's Signature

Date

T15-0549 NS SK

CITY OF OAKLAND

RENT ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM

Mail To: P. O. Box 70243

Oakland, California 94612-0243

(510) 238-3721

For date stamp

2015 OCT 14 PM 3: 51

<u>Please Fill Out This Form As Completely As You Can</u>. Failure to provide needed information may result in your petition being rejected or delayed.

TENANT PETITION

Please print legibly		*
Your Name	Rental Address (with zip code)	Telephone
LAURA DETAR	1837 1344 Ave, 94606	541-231-2881
Your Representative's Name	Mailing Address (with zip code)	Telephone
Property Owner(s) name(s)	Mailing Address (with zip code)	Telephone 12 276 612 D
Property Owner(s) name(s) Kathy Walker-Owner Rahman Hohammel-	Mailing Address (with zip code) Kathy - unknown	Telephone 2510-378-6730
7 Property Manager Number of units on the property:	Rahman - 8053 Hansom Dr. 94605	Rahman: 5/0-220-8888
Number of units on the property:	<u>'T</u> '	

Type of unit you rent (circle one)	House	Condominium	Apartment Room, or Live-Work
Are you current on your rent? (circle one)	Yes	No	Legally Withholding Rent. You must attach an explanation and citation of code violation.

<u>I. GROUNDS FOR PETITION</u>: Check all that apply. You must check at least one box. For all of the grounds for a petition see OMC 8.22.070 and OMC 8.22.090. I (We) contest one or more rent increases on one or more of the following grounds:

_	_	9.0						
		(a) The increase(s) exceed(s) the CPI Adjustment and is (are) unjustified or is (are) greater than 10%.						
		(b) The owner did not give me a summary of the justification(s) for the increase despite my written request.						
		(c) The rent was raised illegally after the unit was vacated (Costa-Hawkins violation).						
	\int	(d) No written notice of Rent Program was given to me together with the notice of increase(s) I am						
L		contesting. (Only for increases noticed after July 26, 2000.)						
	1	(e) A City of Oakland form notice of the existence of the Rent Program was not given to me at least six						
L		months before the effective date of the rent increase(s) I am contesting.						
		(f1) The housing services I am being provided have decreased. (Complete Section III on following page)						
,		(f2) At present, there exists a health, safety, fire, or building code violation in the unit. If the owner has been						
L	'	cited in an inspection report, please attach a copy of the citation or report.						
		(g) The contested increase is the second rent increase in a 12-month period.						
		(h) The notice of rent increase based upon capital improvement costs does not contain the "enhanced						
		notice" requirements of the Rent Adjustment Ordinance or the enhanced notice was not filed with the RAP.						
L		(i) My rent was not reduced after the expiration period of the rent increase based on capital improvements.						
		(j) The proposed rent increase would exceed an overall increase of 30% in 5 years. (The 5-year period						
L		begins with rent increases noticed on or after August 1, 2014).						
		(k) I wish to contest an exemption from the Rent Adjustment Ordinance (OMC 8.22, Article I)						
_								

II. RENTAL HISTORY: (You must complete this section)							
Date you moved into the Unit: 7/15/2013 Initial Rent: \$ 850 /month							
When did the owner first provide you with a written NOTICE TO TENANTS of the existence of the Rent Adjustment Program (RAP NOTICE)? Date: If never provided, enter "Never."							
• Is your rent s	ubsidized or contr	olled by any go	vernment ag	ency, including	g HUD (Sec	tion 8)? Y	es (No)
	reases that you wa onal space, please ging.						
Date Notice Served (mo/day/year)	Date Increase Effective (mo/day/year)	Amount Rent Increased		Are you Contesting this Increase in this Petition?*		Did You Receive a Rent Program Notice With the Notice Of	
		From \$	<u>To</u>	□Yes	□ No	Incre ☐ Yes	ase? □ No
		\$	\$	□Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□No
		\$	\$	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No
		\$	\$	□Yes	□No	□ Yes	□No
		\$ '	\$	□Yes	□No	□ Yes	□No
		\$.	\$	□Yes	□No	□Yes	□No
* You have 60 days from the date of notice of increase or from the first date you received written notice of the existence of the Rent Adjustment program (whichever is later) to contest a rent increase. (O.M.C. 8.22.090 A 2) If you never got the RAP Notice you can contest all past increases. List case number(s) of all Petition(s) you have ever filed for this rental unit:							
III. DESCRIPTION OF DECREASED OR INADEQUATE HOUSING SERVICES: Decreased or inadequate housing services are considered an increase in rent. If you claim an unlawful rent increase for service problems, you must complete this section.							
Are you being charged for services originally paid by the owner? Have you lost services originally provided by the owner or have the conditions changed? Are you claiming any serious problem(s) with the condition of your rental unit? Yes No Yes No							
reduced service service(s) or ser service(s); and	l "Yes" to any o (s) and problem(s); rious problem(s); 3) how you calc ridence if availabl	s). Be sure to i 2) the date t ulate the dolla	nclude at le he loss(es) l	ast the follow began or the	ing: 1) a l date you l	ist of the lo began payi	st housing ng for the
To have a unit inspected and code violations cited, contact the City of Oakland, Code Compliance Unit, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 2 nd Floor, Oakland, CA 94612. Phone: (510) 238-3381							

Tenant Petition, effective 1-15-15

IV. VERIFICATION: The tenant must sign:

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California that everything I said in this petition is true and that all of the documents attached to the petition are true copies of the originals.					
L 8. JZ 10/14/15					
Terlant's Signature Date 10/14/15					
Tenant's Signature bate (
V. MEDIATION AVAILABLE: Mediation is an entirely voluntary process to assist you in reaching an agreement with the owner. If both parties agree, you have the option to mediate your complaints before a hearing is held. If the parties do not reach an agreement in mediation, your case will go to a formal hearing before a Rent Adjustment Program Hearing Officer the same day.					
You may choose to have the mediation conducted by a Rent Adjustment Program Hearing Officer or select an outside mediator. Rent Adjustment Program Hearing Officers conduct mediation sessions free of charge. If you and the owner agree to an outside mediator, please call (510) 238-3721 to make arrangements. Any fees charged by an outside mediator for mediation of rent disputes will be the responsibility of the parties requesting the use of their services.					
Mediation will be scheduled only if both parties agree (after both your petition and the owner's response have been filed with the Rent Adjustment Program). The Rent Adjustment Program will not schedule a mediation session if the owner does not file a response to the petition. Rent Board Regulation 8.22.100.A.					
If you want to schedule your case for mediation, sign below.					
I agree to have my case mediated by a Rent Adjustment Program Staff Hearing Officer (no charge).					
Tenant's Signature Date					
VI. IMPORTANT INFORMATION:					
<u>Time to File</u> This form must be received at the offices of the City of Oakland, Rent Adjustment Program, Dalziel Building, 250 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza Suite 5313, Oakland, CA 94612 within the time limit for filing a petition set out in the Rent Adjustment Ordinance, Oakland Municipal Code, Chapter 8.22. Board Staff cannot grant an extension of time to file your petition by phone. For more information, please call: (510) 238-3721.					
File Review The owner is required to file a Response to this petition within 35 days of notification by the Rent Adjustment Program. You will be mailed a copy of the Landlord's Response form. Copies of documents attached to the Response form will not be sent to you. However, you may review these in the Rent Program office by appointment. For an appointment to review a file call (510) 238-3721; please allow six weeks from the date of filing before scheduling a file review.					
VII. HOW DID YOU LEARN ABOUT THE RENT ADJUSTMENT PROGRAM?					
Printed form provided by the owner Pamphlet distributed by the Rent Adjustment Program Legal services or community organization Sign on bus or bus shelter Other (describe): City of Oakland website					

History of Inadequate Housing Services: 1837 13th Ave, Oakland, 94606

Start: July 15, 2013 End: July 24, 2015

July 15, 2013.

Laura de Tar became a legal tenant at above address. Before moving into the unit the Property Manager, Rahman Muhammed said that he would be replacing the windows because a few of them were in bad condition. Rahman indicated that windows had been ordered, that it would take 4-6 weeks for them to arrive, and that he would call Laura to schedule an installation date.

August 22, 2013

Almost 6 weeks after moving in, Laura emailed Rahman regarding the window order and installation [see attached emails beginning 8/22/2013]. The email conversation from August 22nd also mentions a verbal agreement wherein Rahman offers Laura the use the storage area in the basement under the house. In the email Laura confirms that she would like to take advantage of the offer.

November 1, 2013

The conversation regarding the window replacement continued for the next several weeks via email between the Tenant and Property Manager. During that time, Rahman sent a handyman, Miguel, to bring Laura a copy of the key to the basement for her to use for storage, and to look at the windows and cut the paint around them so they would be somewhat functional. When Miguel was onsite, he noted that several of the windows were in bad condition, and one was so bad that he would not cut the paint to open the window. Miguel said: "If that window were opened and closed regularly the bottom of the window would fall apart."

Of the five windows in the unit, Laura was left with:

- Two small windows that functioned normally (kitchen and bathroom)
- One window in the middle of the house that was in very poor condition but could be opened 6" if carefully propped up with a piece of wood
- Two windows in the front of the unit, one that opened a total of 4" and the other that could not be opened due to its state of disrepair

The email conversation about windows between Laura and Rahman halted after November 1st, 2013, when Rahman informed Laura: "It would be a while before he would be able to get the new windows because he had lost a deposit he put down with a window company that had closed."

November 2013 - March 2014

The conversation over the next several months regarding the windows and other repairs on the property was mostly conducted over text message or via phone calls - it was easier for Laura to get Rahman to respond to questions that way. More pressing issues on the property came up that winter including a malfunctioning water heater and excessive trash and blight, and in conversations regarding those issues Rahman continued to report that: "He was working on getting the windows in the unit replaced."

March 7th, 2014

Laura emails Rahman again about getting new windows installed in the unit. The conversation over the course of that day indicates that Rahman had not found another place to order new windows for the unit from, and the windows still had not been ordered.

In the next several months, all conversations between Laura and Rahman were over phone or text, and after several months of continuing to be put off and having no results Laura called the Property Owner.

December 23, 2014

Laura called Property Owner, Kathy Walker, to ask about the windows (and the water heater, although that is not a part of this complaint) and requested that Kathy's handyman, Jose, come to inspect the issues. Kathy said we'd have to wait to call until after the holidays because Jose is busy.

January 10th, 2015

Laura called Jose, and arranges a time to inspect windows.

January 16th, 2015

Jose inspects the windows (and addresses the water heater) and he concluded that the two front windows and the window in the middle of Laura's unit needed work and/or replacement. Jose said he would follow-up with Kathy. Laura doesn't hear back from either again.

January 27th, 2015

Laura followed up with Rahman via email.

January 29th, 2015

Rahman and his handyman Miguel came by to measure for the new windows.

February 13th and 17th, 2015

Laura followed up with Rahman via email.

February 22nd, 2015

With no response from Rahman Laura called Kathy again. Laura emailed Rahman about the conversation with Kathy, and Rahman responded the next day with vague information about how long it takes for windows to arrive after ordering.

February 23rd, 2015:

Emails drop off and conversations about the windows are over phone and text.

February 27th, 2015

Laura is locked out of the basement storage space that she had been using with Rahman's approval since August 2013. Laura confirmed that she had been locked out of the basement intentionally after calling Jose – who said that Kathy told him to change the locks on the basement and that Laura could no longer store anything down there. Laura took this conversation with Jose as 30-days notice that she needed to move her belongings out of the basement, and Jose agreed to allow her access long enough to remove her things. The fact that Laura was locked out of this storage space for no apparent reason other than the Property Owner's direction to her handyman indicated to Laura that this act was **retaliation** for the continued pressure Laura was placing on the Property Owner to fix the windows in disrepair in the unit.

March 1st, 2015:

Laura started renting a separate storage unit as a result and worked with Jose over the next few weeks to gain access to the basement to move her belongings.

March 5th, 2015

Laura files a formal complaint with Oakland's Code Compliance Division about the windows and the lack of action from those responsible. With the added pressure of Code Compliance, Rahman put Laura in touch with his son, Adrian, who eventually ordered the windows.

July 24th, 2015.

Miguel installed the windows!!!

All told, Laura lived in this unit with windows that did not open in a safe and normal fashion from 7/15/13 - 7/24/15, a little more than 24 months. The frustration of having to continue to pursue these necessary repairs, the fire hazard caused by windows that did not open, and the decreased and inadequate housing that was provided as a result have led

Laura to file this claim. The lack of adequate services equates to \$250 per month for Laura, in total \$6000 over the 24 months of her tenancy. Laura is not willing to volunteer for the mediation session that the Rental Adjustment Program offers due to the very frustrating nature of the business relationship she has with those responsible for this property. Laura will accept whatever decisions the Rent Adjustment Program Officer makes, and is happy to answer any questions from the Program Officer on this matter. If there is a financial award made to Laura, it would be best for Laura to be able to deduct this amount from the monthly rent that she is due to pay Kathy Walker at the beginning of each month. Laura plans to continue residing in this unit, abiding by the terms of the new lease signed September 1st, 2015, and maintaining the front yard per the new lease. Laura is willing to deduct the total amount potentially awarded to her over several months if that situation is agreeable for the Property Manager. For example, if \$6000 is awarded, Laura could deduct \$925, the current monthly rent on the unit, for 6 months, and then \$450 from the rent in the 7th month until the total \$6000 debt is paid. If the award is larger than \$6000 then Laura would appreciate a review with the Program Officer to determine the best course of action. Deducting the total amount awarded is preferable to Laura because she is confident that if the Property Owner/Property Manager were instead required by the City to send a payment to Laura, that the payment would never arrive and compensation would never occur.

The Property Manager and Owner of this property seem to provide inadequate housing to many of the other units on site, based on Laura's experiences talking with other tenants. Many tenants do not have the resources to be able to press those responsible to make the repairs needed in their unit, and Laura and Sara Jane (both legal tenants per the lease signed 9/1/2015) plan to file a complaint with Code Compliance to inspect the other units on the property for compliance.

Accompanying Documentation:

- Copies of Rental Agreements dating July 15, 2013 through present.
- Copies of email exchanges between Laura and Rahman Muhammed from very early in Laura's tenancy regarding the need for window repairs.
 NOTE: Emails were printed double-sided to save paper; and all especially pertinent conversations have been highlighted with a yellow marker.
- Copy of the Violation Notice from the City of Oakland's Code Enforcement Division regarding the windows in the rental unit.
- Proof of new private storage unit being rented by Laura in response to being locked out of the basement storage space.



250 FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA • SUITE 2340 • OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612-2031

Planning and Building Department Bureau of Building Inspections, Permits and Code Enforcement Services www.oaklandnet.com

(510) 238-6402 FAX: (510) 238-2959

TDD:(510) 238-3254

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

March 5, 2015

Certified and Regular mail

To: WALKER KATHY K 4850 LAKERIDGE DR RENO NV 89509-5714

Code Enforcement Case No.: 1500660 Property: 1837 13TH AVE

Parcel Number: 021 -0238-010-00 Re-inspection Date: April 9, 2015

The Code Enforcement Division inspected your property on March 4, 2015 and confirmed that the violations of the Oakland Municipal Code (OMC) marked below are present. Photographs of the violations and a brochure explaining how to correct them are enclosed.

Photo	T Sec 02 (Addition	Location	OMC Section
	Property Maintenance		Olife Section
			
	Building Maintenance (Code)		<u> </u>
Yes	Wooden window frame broken/deteriorated at living room and bedroom window. Repair or replace window frames in an approved manner.	Living room/bedroom	15.08.050 15.08.240
Yes	Water heater installed without permits, inspections and approvals. Obtain permits, inspections and approvals.	Basement	15.08.230 (G) 15.08.140
			20100.110
<u>.'</u>			
			

Notice of Violation Page 1 of 2 Notice of Violation Page 2 of 2

At this point no fees or other charges have been assessed for these violations. To stop further code enforcement action, you are advised to correct the above violations and either mail or fax the enclosed Owner Certification form with photographs or contact Inspector Randy Schimm, who is assigned to your case, before the re-inspection date shown above. Your inspector is available by phone at 510-238-3846 and by email at rschimm@oaklandnet.com.

If you do not return the Owner Certification form or notify your inspector why you cannot comply and if the re-inspection verifies that all violations have not been corrected, you may be charged for inspection and administrative costs, which can total \$2,045.00. The City may also abate the violations and charge you for the contracting and administrative costs, which can also total over \$1,000.00. In addition, Priority Lien fees in the amount of \$1,194.00 may be assessed if fees are not paid within 30 days from the date of the invoice. Charges may be collected by recording liens on your property and adding the charges to your property taxes or by filing in Small Claims or Superior Court. Furthermore, this Notice of Violation may be recorded on your property.

You have a right to appeal this Notice of Violation. You must complete the enclosed Appeal form and return it with supporting documentation in the enclosed envelope. If the Code Enforcement Division does not receive your written Appeal within 30 days of the date of this notice, you will waive your right for administrative review.

Sincerely,

Randy Schimm

Specialty Combination Inspector Planning and Building Department

Encl: Blight Brochure

Violation Appeal Form

cc:

J	Surface mold present on guidelines.		ion required, e.g. bedroor	n walls)	ee enclosed brochure for re	mediation
		(on reduited, o.g. peatoni	ii waiis)	•	

City of Oakland

CITY OF OAKLAND OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT

To:

Chairperson Jessie Warner and Members of the

Housing Residential Rent and Relocation Board

FROM:

Kent Qian, Deputy City Attorney

DATE:

October 18, 2017

SUBJECT:

Revisions to Owner Occupancy Exemption Regulations

At the September 28 meeting, the Board considered Just Cause for Eviction Regulations regarding owner occupancy exemption ("Regulations") brought forward by staff. During the Board's discussion of the proposed amendments to the Regulations, the Board advised that it would like to make certain changes to the proposed regulations. These include clarifying that live-work units are covered under the Just Cause ordinance and removing language stating that failure to file certificate does not affect the owner's right to bring an unlawful detainer action as unnecessary.

This supplemental report identifies the changes made in response to requests by the Board:

- 1. Insert definition of "Rental Unit" to specifically include live-work units or other types of non-conforming units [8.22.340];
- 2. Require owners to file a certificate when a new tenant moves in if the owner was not required to file a certificate before, e.g. if the property was owner occupied at the time the regulation becomes effective; [8.22.350F.c.iv]
- 3. Added a 30-day deadline for the owner to file a certificate with the Rent Program when a property no longer qualifies for the owner occupancy exemption from the Just Cause Ordinance; [8.22.350F.d.ii]
- 4. Removed express statement that failure to file or serve certificate does not affect the Owner's right to bring an unlawful detainer action. [8.22.350F.g.iii]

We ask that the Rent Board consider and adopt these proposed regulations as revised in Attachment B (revisions from initial version are reflected in track changes).

Attachment B

Amendments to Just Cause For Eviction Regulations

Reg 8.22.340 Definitions

"Appeal Panel" has the same meaning as that term is defined in O.M.C. Section 8.22.020. [existing]

"Rent Program" means the Rent Adjustment Program as defined in O.M.C. Section 8.22.020. [existing]

"Rental Unit" includes live-work units or other types of non-conforming residential units. [new]

Reg 8.22.350F Certifications For Owner Occupancy of Properties with Two or Three Units [new]

- a. Scope of Regulations: The regulations in this section are designed to provide reporting requirements to better assure compliance with the Owner-Occupancy Exemption from Just Cause for Eviction Ordinance Contained in Section 8.22.350F of the Oakland Municipal Code.
- b. Applicability: This regulation applies to any unit in a residential property that is divided into two or three units, one of which is occupied by the Owner of Record as his or her principal residence.
- c. Certification to the Rent Program Following Occupancy.
 - i. Within 30 days of an Owner of Record commencing occupancy of a unit as a principal residence, the Owner of Record must file a certificate with the Rent Program attesting to the occupancy in addition to any evidence of occupancy as required by the certificate. The certificate must also attest to whether the Owner of Record claims a homeowner's property tax exemption on any other real property in the State of California.
 - ii. The certificate must be accompanied by a proof of service on each <u>T</u>ŧenant of the other units of the property.
 - iii. A certificate must be filed within 30 days of occupancy for each <u>subsequent</u> new Owner of Record who occupies thean unit as a principal residence.
 - iv. At the commencement of each new tenancy after the initial certificate filing, the Owner of Record must serve the <u>T</u>tenant a copy of the certificate filed with the Rent Program with a proof of service on the <u>T</u>enant. If the Owner of Record did not file a certificate of the Rent Program upon occupancy because the owner occupancy first commenced before the effective date of the regulation, the Owner of Record must file a new certificate with the Rent Program within 30 days of the commencement of the new tenancy accompanied by a proof of service on the Tenant.
 - v. Filing of a certificate under this subsection will satisfy the filing requirement in 8.22.360.B.8.b.ii (Certification Following Occupancy After No-Fault Eviction), if the Owner of Record is also subject to the filing requirement in that subdivision.
- d. Certification to the Rent Program when Property is no Longer Exempt
 - i. The owner-occupancy exemption continues until an Owner of Record no longer continuously occupies the property or begins claiming a homeowner's property tax exemption on any other real property in the State of California.
 - ii. If an Owner of Record no longer occupies the unit as a principal residence or no longer qualifies for the exemption, the Owner of Record must file a certificate

with the Rent Program stating the reason why the property is no longer exempt within 30 days of expiration of the exemption.

iii. The certificate must be accompanied by a proof of service on each tenant of the other units of the property.

e. Rent Program Dispute Resolution

- i. The Rent Program has concurrent jurisdiction with the court over disputes over the Owner's eligibility for the owner-occupancy exemption.
- ii. Either an Owner of Record or a Tenant may petition the Rent Program at any time to address Owner of Record's exemption eligibility.
- iii. Rent Program hearings contesting an Owner of Record's exemption eligibility are conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rent Adjustment Program Regulations 8.22,090.
- iv. The Owner has the burden of proving exemption eligibility.
- f. Forms and Information Required as Part of Certification.
 - i. Staff shall develop forms for required certificates.
 - ii. The certificates shall be filed under penalty of perjury.
 - iii. Staff is authorized to request supplemental information consistent with the purpose of each of these certifications.
- g. Penalties for Failing to File Certificate.
 - i. An Owner<u>of Record</u> who fails to timely file or serve a certificate after notice of the filing requirement may be assessed administrative citation pursuant to O.M.C. Chap. 1.12.
 - ii. An Owner of Record who fails to timely file or serve a certificate on more than one occasion after notice of the filing requirement, may be assessed a civil penalty pursuant to O.M.C. Chap. 1.08.
 - iii. Failure to file or serve a certificate does not affect the Owner's right to bring an unlawful detainer action.

Attachment B

Amendments to Just Cause For Eviction Regulations

Reg 8.22.340 Definitions

"Appeal Panel" has the same meaning as that term is defined in O.M.C. Section 8.22.020. [existing]

"Rent Program" means the Rent Adjustment Program as defined in O.M.C. Section 8.22.020. [existing]

"Rental Unit" includes live-work units or other types of non-conforming residential units. [new]

Reg 8.22.350F Certifications For Owner Occupancy of Properties with Two or Three Units [new]

- a. Scope of Regulations: The regulations in this section are designed to provide reporting requirements to better assure compliance with the Owner-Occupancy Exemption from Just Cause for Eviction Ordinance Contained in Section 8.22.350F of the Oakland Municipal Code.
- b. Applicability: This regulation applies to any unit in a residential property that is divided into two or three units, one of which is occupied by the Owner of Record as his or her principal residence.
- c. Certification to the Rent Program Following Occupancy.
 - i. Within 30 days of an Owner of Record commencing occupancy of a unit as a principal residence, the Owner of Record must file a certificate with the Rent Program attesting to the occupancy in addition to any evidence of occupancy as required by the certificate. The certificate must also attest to whether the Owner of Record claims a homeowner's property tax exemption on any other real property in the State of California.
 - ii. The certificate must be accompanied by a proof of service on each \underline{T} tenant of the other units of the property.
 - iii. A certificate must be filed within 30 days of occupancy for each <u>subsequent</u> new Owner of Record who occupies <u>thean</u> unit as a principal residence.
 - iv. At the commencement of each new tenancy after the initial certificate filing, the Owner of Record must serve the <u>T</u>tenant a copy of the certificate filed with the Rent Program with a proof of service on the <u>Tenant</u>. If the Owner of Record did not file a certificate of the Rent Program upon occupancy because the owner occupancy first commenced before the effective date of the regulation, the Owner of Record must file a new certificate with the Rent Program within 30 days of the commencement of the new tenancy accompanied by a proof of service on the Tenant.
 - v. Filing of a certificate under this subsection will satisfy the filing requirement in 8.22.360.B.8.b.ii (Certification Following Occupancy After No-Fault Eviction), if the Owner of Record is also subject to the filing requirement in that subdivision.
- d. Certification to the Rent Program when Property is no Longer Exempt
 - i. The owner-occupancy exemption continues until an Owner of Record no longer continuously occupies the property or begins claiming a homeowner's property tax exemption on any other real property in the State of California.
 - ii. If an Owner of Record no longer occupies the unit as a principal residence or no longer qualifies for the exemption, the Owner of Record must file a certificate

with the Rent Program stating the reason why the property is no longer exempt within 30 days of expiration of the exemption.

iii. The certificate must be accompanied by a proof of service on each tenant of the other units of the property.

e. Rent Program Dispute Resolution

- i. The Rent Program has concurrent jurisdiction with the court over disputes over the Owner's eligibility for the owner-occupancy exemption.
- ii. Either an Owner of Record or a Tenant may petition the Rent Program at any time to address Owner of Record's exemption eligibility.
- iii. Rent Program hearings contesting an Owner of Record's exemption eligibility are conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rent Adjustment Program Regulations 8.22.090.
- iv. The Owner has the burden of proving exemption eligibility.
- f. Forms and Information Required as Part of Certification.
 - i. Staff shall develop forms for required certificates.
 - ii. The certificates shall be filed under penalty of perjury.
 - iii. Staff is authorized to request supplemental information consistent with the purpose of each of these certifications.
- g. Penalties for Failing to File Certificate.
 - i. An Owner of Record who fails to timely file or serve a certificate after notice of the filing requirement may be assessed administrative citation pursuant to O.M.C. Chap. 1.12.
 - ii. An Owner of Record who fails to timely file or serve a certificate on more than one occasion after notice of the filing requirement, may be assessed a civil penalty pursuant to O.M.C. Chap. 1.08.
 - iii. Failure to file or serve a certificate does not affect the Owner's right to bring an unlawful detainer action.

CITY OF OAKLAND

HOUSING, RESIDENTIAL RENT AND RELOCATION BOARD RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION No. R17-003

RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO JUST CAUSE FOR EVICTION REGULATIONS TO REQUIRE CERTICATIONS FOLLOWING OWNER OCCUPANCY OF PROPERTIES WITH TWO OR THREE UNITS

WHEREAS, Oakland's Just Cause Ordinance (O.M.C. 8.22.300) exempts buildings with two or three units from just-cause protections if a property owner lives in one of the units as a primary residence; and

WHEREAS, this means that renters in buildings with two or three units risk nofault eviction, should an owner move into one of the units; and

WHEREAS, this exemption has the benefit of helping mom and pop landlords, who live in buildings with two or three units, but is susceptible to abuse; and

WHEREAS, false owner-move ins and owner-occupied exemptions are increasing in the City of Oakland as a tactic to push out existing tenants and raise rents; and

WHEREAS, such false owner-move ins and owner-occupied exemptions is exacerbating Oakland's severe housing supply and affordability crisis, and threatens the public health, safety and/or welfare of our residents; and

WHEREAS, currently, tenants may not know their rights and/or lack the resources to fight for them to be enforced or access the information to determine if an owner-occupancy is valid; and

WHEREAS, currently, the City of Oakland lacks adequate regulations to ensure that owner-occupancy claims being used for exemptions to the just cause for eviction law are legitimate;

WHEREAS, the Oakland City Council requested the Housing, Residential Rent and Relocation Board to consider regulations to have property owners who owner-occupy duplexes and triplexes to confirm owner-occupancy status through a certificate

of exemption or other administrative process for exemption from the Just Cause for Eviction Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Rent Board wishes to adopt new Regulations to require owners to certify occupancy after moving into a two or three unit building; now, therefore be it

RESOLVED: That the Board amends the Just Cause for Eviction Regulations as set out in Attachment B; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the Just Cause for Eviction regulations herein enacted shall take effect after the City Council has considered the proposed regulations for costs.

APPROVED BY	THE FOLLOWING VOTE	•			
AYES:	CHANG, COOK, FRIEDMAN, MI WARNER	ESAROS, SAN	DOVAL, STON	IE, AND CHAIRI	PERSON
NOES:					
ABSENT:					
ABSTENTION:				•	
Date:					
•			ATTEST		<u> </u>
				JESSIE of the Housing, Rent and Relocation	

#2227728v1