

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Oakland, California; Note

Primary Credit Analyst:

Chris Morgan, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5032; chris.morgan@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Misty L Newland, San Francisco 415-371-5073; misty.newland@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Oakland, California; Note

Credit Profile

US\$83.534 mil TRANs ser 2013-2014 due 06/30/2014

Short Term Rating SP-1+ New

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'SP-1+' short-term rating to Oakland, Calif.'s 2013-2014 tax and revenue anticipation notes (TRANs).

The rating reflects our opinion of the city's:

- Strong coverage of principal and interest at maturity and at set-aside dates prior to maturity;
- Substantial alternative liquidity sources available for interfund loans at the maturity date; and
- Record of conservative projections relative to actual performance

The notes are general obligations of the city and are payable from taxes, income, revenues, cash receipts, and other money that the city receives for the general fund for fiscal 2014.

As has been the case in prior years, the city has covenanted to set aside funds in advance of the maturity dates in amounts equal to half of principal in March, the remaining half of principal in May, and interest in May. The city has resolved to deposit such funds with a fiscal agent in a dedicated account to be applied solely for the purpose of paying the principal and interest on the notes. The fiscal agent is required to invest the proceeds in accordance with the city's investment policy, which is governed by state guidelines that, among other elements, require the preservation of principal as the primary goal.

We consider the coverage at TRAN maturity to be strong. Management projects that the city's general fund, on the TRANs' June 30, 2014 maturity date, will hold \$108.5 million, which would cover principal and interest by 2.35x. Management also projects that on the TRANs' March 31, 2014 set-aside date, the general fund will hold \$21.4 million, which would cover principal and interest by a still good 1.55x, and the \$50.8 million that management projects for May 2014 translates into 2.24x. In addition, management has identified 36 other funds available for interfund borrowing, which it forecasts will hold \$81.0 million at the TRANs' maturity date. This would increase coverage to 3.36x, which we consider very strong.

Underlying these ratios is what management projects will be an overall decline in receipts although we calculate that receipts will show modest growth after adjusting for a one-time factor affecting fiscal 2013 performance. For fiscal 2014, the city projects that overall receipts (excluding TRAN proceeds) will decline by 1.6%, with property taxes, which represent 33% of non-TRAN projected receipts, projected to decline by 2.7%. However, we calculate that the property tax receipts' fiscal 2014 level represents an increase of about 2% after adjusting for the removal of a fiscal 2013 receipt by the city associated with the dissolution of its redevelopment agency. This same adjustment translates

into 0.8% growth in overall receipts (excluding TRANs), which we consider modest. The city projects a slow economic recovery to translate into generally positive growth in other major sources of receipts, with business license taxes (10% of projected non-TRAN receipts) up 2.1% and sales and use taxes (8%) up 0.3%. At the same time, the city projects service charges (10%) to be down by 0.5%.

The city's projected disbursements also show an overall decline for fiscal 2014 that becomes a modest increase after adjusting for the removal of a one-time payment in fiscal 2013. We calculate that overall receipts (excluding TRAN payments) will decline by 4.6% for fiscal 2014 with the most significant contributor, in our view, payments in May 2013 of \$32.4 million to the county (which manages property tax collections and disbursements for local governments) and \$2.6 million to the Oakland Redevelopment Successor Agency. These fiscal 2013 payments, which represent 5% of projected fiscal 2013 non-TRAN disbursements, follow the state's determination that the city could not retain a portion of funds that it transferred to the general fund in fiscal 2012 from the soon-to-be dissolved Oakland Redevelopment Agency. Management has indicated that the city's budgeting process for fiscal 2014 incorporated the potential for this to occur, including the share of the \$32.4 million that returns to the city consistent with its position as one of the taxing agencies eligible to receive a portion of the distribution of agency resources. Excluding this fiscal 2013 event, we calculate that overall disbursements, excluding TRANs, will rise for fiscal 2014 by 0.8% using management's projections, reflecting reductions in spending from proposed staffing reductions more than offset by the expiration of furloughs and other one-time employee concessions effective in fiscal 2013.

The city's projections have been conservative in recent years in our view. We calculate that actual coverage of TRANs maturing in fiscal 2012 was 2.57x compared with the 1.85x projected prior to their issuance. We calculate, based on management's latest estimates and projections, that coverage for fiscal 2013 will be about 2.3x, compared with 1.58x 12 months prior. Contributing to this positive variance in fiscal 2013 were conservative revenue assumptions for business license taxes; sales and use taxes; and, particularly, historically volatile real estate transfer taxes, which represent 7% of fiscal 2013 projected receipts excluding TRANs. The city projects that such receipts will finish fiscal 2013 at a level 51% higher than the city projected at this time last year.

Encompassing just less than 400,000 residents and \$42.8 billion in market value, Oakland is situated in the core of the San Francisco Bay Area and at the nexus of the regional freeway and commuter rail networks. We consider its median household effective buying income to be good, at 97% of the national level, albeit below much of the region. The city is home to one of the largest West Coast ports and has multiple major redevelopment projects in the planning or construction phases, including the first phase of a 130-acre redevelopment of property surrounding the port, slated to begin summer 2013, to complement port activities. Suggesting positive economic momentum are 31 consecutive months of year-over-year growth in its count of employed residents and two consecutive years of assessed valuation growth although neither measure has reached its prior peak.

Related Criteria And Research

USPF Criteria: Short-Term Debt, June 15, 2007

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings

affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2013 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

McGRAW-HILL