

Privacy Advisory Commission July 9, 2018 5:00 PM Oakland City Hall Hearing Room 2 1 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 1st Floor Special Meeting Minutes

Commission Members: District 1 Representative: Reem Suleiman, District 2 Representative: Chloe Brown, District 3 Representative: Brian M. Hofer, District 4 Representative: Lou Katz, District 5 Representative: Raymundo Jacquez III, District 6 Representative: Clint M. Johnson, District 7 Representative: Robert Oliver, Council At-Large Representative: Saied R. Karamooz, Mayoral Representative: Heather Patterson

Each person wishing to speak on items must fill out a speaker's card. Persons addressing the Privacy Advisory Commission shall state their names and the organization they are representing, if any.

1. 5:00pm: Call to Order, determination of quorum

A quorum was established with only member Johnson unable to attend.

2. 5:05pm: Review and approval of June meeting minutes

The minutes were approved.

3. 5:10pm: Open Forum

There were no Open Forum Speakers.

4. 5:15pm: Surveillance Equipment Ordinance – status update regarding department outreach for survey of existing equipment.

Joe DeVries reported that he had presented at the department Head Meeting last month and was preparing a memo that would provide guidance as to what existing technologies are currently in use and will bring a list back to the PAC at the September Meeting.

5. 5:20pm: Discuss and create working group for review of Federal law enforcement task force agreements.

Tim Birch with OPD proposed that a working group (ad hoc committee) be created of select PAC members who will meet directly with OPD staff to review MOUs with federal agencies and bring recommendations

to the PAC. He anticipates approximately 6 MOUs per year that would require review. The ad hoc committee was created and includes: Chairperson Hofer, Member Karamooz, Member Katz, and Member Oliver.

6. 5:30pm: Surveillance Equipment Ordinance - "Large Scale Event- Warriors Parade". Receive and take possible action on staff informational report.

The PAC received the report from Captain Holmgren and approved it for submission to the Public Safety Committee.

Captain Holmgren reported that the drone detection device was able to identify 20 drones with only 3 in an area considered potentially risky and 1 incident where a drone flew close to a dignitary. There were zero confiscations and zero complaints filed. There were some Wi-Fi connectivity issues and it was a good training for future events.

Captain Holmgren also reported that the cameras installed by NCRIC did not capture footage of any crimes (there were no incidents and no arrests at the parade). The cameras were running from 8am the morning of the parade until the crowds disbursed and were taken down and returned two days later.

There was one public speaker: JP Masser asked what the cost of the drone detection system was and the Captain offered to follow-up with that information.

7. 5:40pm: Surveillance Equipment Ordinance – Police Department – "Ride Along" software application. Review and take possible action on Anticipated Impact Report.

Rose Sutton with OPD introduced the team from Ride Along who provided a thorough overview (via PowerPoint) of the product and its purpose in assisting OPD when they respond to calls for service involving someone with a history of mental illness. They took questions from the PAC and also received input on the Anticipated Impact Statement.

A summary of concerns raised by the PAC included:

- Protecting privacy of the people in the database
- Outreach to the homeless and those with mental health problems to see that they have input into the product design
- Creating quantitative measures of success (such as a reduction in calls for service and avoiding cost savings estimates that cannot be verified or are purely anecdotal
- Indicating alternatives in the Impact Statement including competing software that may be available and/or "status quo" if no other alternative exists.

There was one public speaker on the item: JP Masser raised several concerns about the homeless and this being used to further alienate or criminalize them. He also wanted to see more in the Impact Statement about protecting people's privacy.

Staff will bring back a revised Anticipated Impact Statement in the fall.

8. 6:10pm: Police Department proposal to amend City retention schedule pertaining to Body Worn Camera footage. Review and take possible action on proposal.

The PAC reviewed OPD's resolution to Council that authorizes the deletion of Body Work Camera records that are over two years old. This will prevent OPD from spending significant resources storing data that si unnecessary and does not affect any footage retained for investigative purposes.

9. 7:00pm: Adjournment