

## Alvin, Corey

---

To: Matt Hamidi

### Commissioner Hamidi's proposed changes to Draft Map F3 with Rationale

<https://districtr.org/plan/101178>

To meet the requirements for fair and equal population distribution and to respect the public request to keep COI together, the following changes have been made to map F3.. To try to make map F3 equitable, and fair would need to rearrange the whole map. Map F3, does not consider the last ten years of change in Oakland. It does not resolve any of the current issues and will only increase the inequalities plaguing Oaklands underrepresented populations. The map only promotes Oakland's old power structure, centered on big businesses and corrupt politicians who had controlled City Hall for decades and opposed redistricting. Some of the public who spoke had spoken had stated false narratives with motives that were in their best nature and not for Oakland. The truth is they understand that returning the city to a bygone era of Tammany Hall-style ward bosses and corruption would help their own personal interest and not the city. Understand that F3, seems different if you think looks different, it is based on criteria that is not..Please read the changes made to each district that allows Oaklanders to move forward to a better present and future.

D1- The change made was to increase D! along Piedmont. By including this portion you allow the closest population for the district to be evenly divided. The population of the district prior to the change was white with almost 55% after the change it was still 53% white.

D2- I have removed Adams Point to the area, and brought up the line from 25<sup>th</sup> Ave to 23<sup>rd</sup> Ave. The change has made the Asian population the main group in CVAP and Population for the district by almost 4% respectively.The change will allow Asian population to pick a council member closest to their need without any opposition from others. It will allow a member who understands the culture of the majority population and can address any issues that might be harmful to the area easier.

D3- The area now has Adams Point included in it. The reason being because it was the only way to keep that area together without flooding the population. It also has decreased the waterfront to only worry about the port as its main economic driver. With that said it is expected that the City will deliver its second report to the planning commission and counsel to finalize the A's Howard terminal stadium. So like it or not.... It's happening. Also I would like to make sure everyone is aware that within the last 3 years the area has seen an increase of 126% in population and development growth. It is projected that the city will increase about .1% each year which is about 5,000+ and 2/3rds of that population will be in the Grand Ave /Wood St. development areas. Please also keep in mind that Oakland has a tax it applies to all new developments, residential or commercial, that is specifically to address affordable housing developments. IF you do not know what this is please look it up, it is how Oakland plans to address the housing crisis for low to very low income. Also for anyone who doesn't know, the funds that are raised go to the general fund account not to a specific district so please stop with the misinformation.

D4- It has been extended to include the trestle glen area as requested. It also keeps the shopping districts of Grand Lake and Lakeshore together. IT keeps diamonds, laurel, and all other areas that want to stay together. IT is the largest area but the population is very spaced out. There is no other way but this District is completely landlocked.

D5- We have moved D5 to not include anything above 580 area. D5 incorporates more of the Latin X population by providing them the majority in population and CVAP percentage. By removing the areas above the 580 will allow a council member to be selected who can better represent the flatlands area. It also will allow the Indigenous populations to have a voice as culturally they are like the Latin X cultures.

D6 & D7- If the City Attorney has not already voiced in, we are not allowed to break up census blocks or you leave yourself up for a major lawsuit. The blocks are put together based on population, race and other factors by the Census when created. To draw a line through them would break the integrity and would be a violation for the Fair Voting acts law. Therefore you can not split the Coliseum up from the Arena, it has to stay together. Also, has it not dawned on anyone that the City of Oakland does not own the Coliseum, the County of Alameda was part owner until they sold their portion to the A's, who now own all of it, and have already discussed taking it down.

All choices and data used were based on the US Census Data as required by law and public comments from Oakland Citizens. There was no outside interference nor any type of persuasion of any kind .