OAKLAND CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO. 86333 C.M.S.

INTRODUCED BY COUNCILMEMBERS NOEL GALLO AND DAN KALB

ADOPT A RESOLUTION ON THE CITY COUNCIL'S OWN MOTION SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS AT THE NOVEMBER 8, 2016 STATEWIDE GENERAL ELECTION A PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CITY CHARTER TO CREATE THE OAKLAND POLICE COMMISSION, THE COMMUNITY POLICE REVIEW AGENCY, AND DIRECTING THE CITY CLERK TO TAKE ANY AND ALL ACTIONS NECESSARY UNDER LAW TO PREPARE FOR AND CONDUCT THE ELECTION

WHEREAS, on April 15, 1980, the City Council established the Citizens' Police Review Board (hereinafter, Board) with jurisdiction to review certain complaints alleging Oakland Police Department officer and park ranger misconduct, to conduct fact-finding investigations, and to make advisory reports to the City Administrator. On July 30, 1996, the City Council expanded the Board's jurisdiction to include complaints involving the excessive use of force, and bias based on an individual's legally protected status (race, gender, national origin, religion, sexual orientation or disability). In 2002, the City Council further expanded the Board's jurisdiction to include all complaints filed against police officers and park rangers, and expanded the Board's size from nine (9) members to twelve (12) members. The City Council also granted the Board the option of holding evidentiary hearings using three-member panels and permitted Board members to review confidential Oakland Police Department (hereinafter, Department) records in closed session. On November 12, 2002, the City Council passed Ordinance No. 12454 C.M.S., which further refined the Board's powers to include making recommendations to the City Administrator regarding litigated cases, and enlarged the amount of time for the Board to complete its investigations. The Board, however, is not empowered to oversee Department policy, impose discipline or adjudicate disciplinary appeals; and

WHEREAS, in January 2003, the City entered into a Negotiated Settlement Agreement (hereinafter, NSA) with multiple plaintiffs who sued the City, alleging that Police Department officers violated plaintiffs' civil rights. Since implementation of the NSA, a federal monitoring team has audited – and continues to audit – the Department's progress in complying with each of the fifty-one (51) tasks identified in the NSA; and

WHEREAS, while some important progress has been made in recent years, public perception persists that the Department and the City do not adequately hold its officers accountable for misconduct, as indicated, in part, by a February 8, 2016 notice
of intent to circulate a petition for placing before the voters an initiative to amend the City Charter "for the purpose of creating a more robust and credible system of holding the Oakland Police Department accountable for providing the highest level of service to the residents of Oakland." The public perception that the Department does not effectively hold its officers accountable stems, in part, from the inconsistent and unpredictable results obtained in police officer discipline proceedings under the current administrative appeal process; moreover, such varied results lead to an erosion of public trust in this process; and

WHEREAS, maintaining public trust and confidence in the Police Department is essential for the Department to be able to provide the highest level of service to the community. In order to increase the public trust and confidence in the Department, improvements should be made to the processes for providing Department oversight and accountability. Appointing qualified members of the public to a Police Commission (hereinafter, Commission), entrusting the Commission with oversight of the Department and requiring the Commission to hold public hearings all would increase public transparency, which in turn would build a higher level of trust and confidence in the services provided by the Department; and

WHEREAS, major cities across the country, including New York City, Los Angeles, and San Francisco, as well as medium-size cities such as Berkeley, Albuquerque, and Honolulu have civilian police commissions with varying degrees of oversight authority over their police departments. In recent years, more and more municipal jurisdictions have involved citizens in their law enforcement review systems, and highly publicized incidents of alleged or actual police misconduct and the years-in-the-making widespread public outrage over police misconduct, especially in African American communities, has brought the issue of civilian oversight to center stage in the United States; and

WHEREAS, The National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement, a nonprofit organization dedicated to promoting greater police accountability through the establishment or improvement of citizen oversight agencies, provides various resources on its website, including recommended standards and practices, which include the Police Oversight Principles of European Partners Against Corruption, which provides numerous recommendations for police oversight bodies including that they: (1) have independence from the executive branch of government; (2) are sufficiently separate from the hierarchy of the police subject to their oversight; (3) are governed by persons who are not currently serving as police officers; (4) have adequate finances and resources to perform their functions; (5) have full investigative powers regarding police misconduct allegations; and (6) are representative of a diverse population; and

WHEREAS, while the Department currently has an in-house sworn Inspector General, one of the best models for on-going oversight of police discipline can be found in the City of Los Angeles’ Office of Inspector General. The Los Angeles Inspector General is a civilian who is charged with conducting systemic reviews of the disciplinary process and reports directly to the City of Los Angeles’ Police Commission to ensure a necessary level of independence; and
WHEREAS, while creating a Police Commission will enhance accountability and improve the public’s trust, it is equally important that these accountability measures and structure be adopted and implemented with appropriate checks and balances; now therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the City Council hereby authorizes and directs the City Clerk, at least 88 days prior to the next general municipal election date, to file with the Alameda County Board of Supervisors and the Registrar of Voters certified copies of this resolution; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Council intends for this proposed Amendment to Article VI of the Charter, Section 604 to address independent oversight of the Oakland Police Department, with appropriate checks and balances; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Charter hereby is amended, to add, delete, or modify sections as set forth below (section numbers and titles are indicated in capitalized bold type; additions are indicated by underscore, deletions are indicated by strike-through type; portions of the provisions not cited or not shown in underscore or strike-through type are not changed).

The people of the City of Oakland do ordain as follows:

Section 1. Amendment to the Charter of the City of Oakland.

SECTION 604 – POLICE COMMISSION

(a) Creation and Role.

1. There hereby is established the Oakland Police Commission (hereinafter, Commission), which shall oversee the Oakland Police Department (hereinafter, Department) in order to ensure that its policies, practices, and customs conform to national standards of constitutional policing. The Commission shall have the functions and duties enumerated in this Section, as well as those assigned to the Commission by Ordinance.

2. There hereby is established a Community Police Review Agency (hereinafter, Agency), which shall have the functions and duties enumerated in this Section, as well as those assigned to the Agency by Ordinance.

3. Nothing herein shall prohibit the Chief of Police or a commanding officer from investigating the conduct of a Department sworn employee under his or her command, nor shall anything herein prohibit the Chief of Police from taking disciplinary or corrective action with respect to complaints investigated solely by the Department.

4. No later than two (2) years after the City Council has confirmed the first set of Commissioners and alternates, the City Auditor shall conduct a performance audit and a financial audit of the Commission and the Agency. Nothing herein shall limit the City Auditor’s authority to conduct future performance and financial audits of the Commission and the Agency.
(b) Powers and Duties.

The powers and duties of the Commission are as follows:

1. **Organize, reorganize and oversee the Agency.**

2. **Conduct public hearings at least once a year on Department policies, rules, practices, customs, and General Orders.** The Commission shall determine which Department policies, rules, practices, customs, or General Orders shall be the subject of the hearing.

3. **Consistent with state law and in accordance with Section 1207 of the City Charter, entitled “Oaths and Subpoenas,” issue subpoenas to compel the production of books, papers and documents and take testimony on any matter pending before it.** If any person subpoenaed fails or refuses to appear or to produce required documents or to testify, the majority of the members of the Commission may find him in contempt, and shall have power to take proceedings in that behalf provided by the general law of the State.

4. **Propose changes, including modifications to the Department’s proposed changes, to any policy, procedure, custom, or General Order of the Department which governs use of force, use of force review boards, profiling based on any of the protected characteristics identified by federal, state, or local law, or First Amendment assemblies, or which contains elements expressly listed in federal court orders or federal court settlements which pertain to the Department and are in effect at the time this Charter Section 604 takes effect for so long as such federal court orders and settlements remain in effect. All such proposed changes and modifications shall be submitted to the City Council for approval or rejection.** If the City Council does not approve, modify and approve, or reject the Commission’s proposed changes or modifications within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Commission's vote on the proposed changes, the changes or modifications will become final.

5. **Approve or reject the Department’s proposed changes to all policies, procedures, customs, and General Orders of the Department which govern use of force, use of force review boards, profiling based on any of the protected characteristics identified by federal, state, or local law, or First Amendment assemblies, or which contains elements expressly listed in federal court orders or federal court settlements which pertain to the Department and are in effect at the time this Charter Section 604 takes effect for so long as such federal court orders and settlements remain in effect.** If the Commission does not approve or reject the Department’s proposed changes within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Department’s submission of the proposed changes to the Commission, the Department’s proposed changes will become final. If the Commission rejects the Department’s proposed changes, notice of the Commission’s rejection, together with the Department’s proposed changes, shall be submitted to the City Council for review. If the City Council does not approve or reject the Commission’s decision within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Commission's vote on the Department’s proposed changes, the Commission’s decision will become final.
6. Review and comment, at its discretion, on all other policies, procedures, customs, and General Orders of the Department. All such comments shall be submitted to the Chief of Police who shall provide a written response to the Commission upon request.

7. Review the Mayor's proposed budget to determine whether budgetary allocations for the Department are aligned with the Department's policies, procedures, customs, and General Orders. The Commission shall conduct at least one public hearing on the Department budget per budget cycle and shall forward to the City Council any recommendations for change.

8. Require the Chief of Police to submit an annual report to the Commission regarding such matters as the Commission shall require.

9. Report at least once a year to the Mayor, the City Council, and to the public to the extent permissible by law, the information contained in the Chief's report in addition to such other matters as are relevant to the functions and duties of the Commission.

10. Acting separately or jointly with the Mayor, remove the Chief of Police by a vote of not less than five affirmative votes. If acting separately, the Commission may remove the Chief of Police only after adopting a finding or findings of cause, which shall be defined by City ordinance. The Commission must make its finding of just cause by no less than five affirmative votes. Upon removal, by the Commission, by the Mayor, or by the Mayor and the Commission acting jointly, or upon the notice of vacancy of the position of Chief of Police, the Mayor, in consultation with the Chair of the Commission, shall immediately appoint an Interim Chief of Police. Such appointment shall not exceed six months in duration unless approved by a majority vote of the Commission. The Commission, with the assistance of the City Administrator, shall prepare and distribute a job announcement, and prepare a list of at least four candidates and transmit the names and relevant background materials to the Mayor. The Mayor shall appoint one person from this list, or reject the list in its entirety and request a new list from the Commission. This provision shall not apply to any recruitment for the position of Chief of Police that is pending at the time of the Commission's first meeting.

11. Send the Chairperson of the Commission or another Commissioner appointed by the Chairperson to serve as a non-voting member of any level one Oakland Police Force Review Board.

12. Perform such other functions and duties as may be prescribed by this Charter or by City ordinance.

(c) Appointment, Terms, Vacancies, Removal.

1. The Commission shall consist of seven (7) regular members and two (2) alternate members, all of whom shall be Oakland residents of at least eighteen (18) years of age. To the extent practicable, appointments shall be broadly representative of Oakland's diversity and shall include members with knowledge and/or experience in the fields of human resources practices, management, policy development, auditing, law, investigations, law enforcement, youth representation, civil rights and civil liberties, as
well as representation from communities experiencing the most frequent contact with the Department. Background checks shall be required for all Commission members and alternates. Such background checks shall not be performed by the Department. The following shall not be eligible to serve as a Commissioner:

a. current sworn police officer;
b. current City employee;
c. former Department sworn employee; or
d. current or former employee, official or representative of an employee association representing sworn police officers.

2. Within two hundred and ten (210) days of the enactment of this Section, the Mayor shall appoint three (3) Oakland residents as Commissioners, at least one of whom shall be a retired judge or lawyer with trial experience in criminal law or police misconduct, and one (1) Oakland resident as an alternate, and submit the names of these appointees to the Council for confirmation. The Council shall have sixty (60) days after the completion of the background checks and from the date of receipt of the Mayor’s submission to accept or reject each of the Mayor’s appointees as Commissioners. The Mayor shall appoint an Oakland resident to fill any Commission vacancies that were previously filled by a Mayor’s appointee. If the City Council does not accept or reject the Mayor’s appointee within sixty (60) days after the completion of the background check and receipt of the Mayor’s submission, the appointee shall be deemed appointed.

3. All other Commissioners and the other alternate shall be appointed as follows:

a. There is hereby established a nine (9) member Selection Panel. Within ninety (90) days of the enactment of this Section, each City Council member shall appoint one (1) person, and the Mayor shall appoint one (1) person, to the Selection Panel. No current Department employee is eligible to be a member of the Selection Panel. The Selection Panel, with the assistance of the City Administrator, will solicit applications from those willing to serve on the Commission. The Selection Panel will review the applications, and interview applicants to serve as members of the Commission.

b. Within one hundred and twenty days (120) of its formation, the Selection Panel, by a two-thirds vote, shall submit a slate of four (4) regular members and one (1) alternate member to the City Council. The City Council may require the nominees to appear before the Council or a Committee of the Council. If the City Council does not accept or reject the slate in its entirety within sixty (60) days after the completion of the background checks and submission by the Selection Panel, the four (4) regular members and one (1) alternate member shall be deemed appointed.

c. Each year the Selection Panel shall re-convene, as needed, to designate replacements for the five (5) Commissioner (four (4) regular members and one (1) alternate) vacancies initially filled by the Selection Panel and shall submit a slate of names of such designated persons to the City Council for acceptance or rejection. If the City Council does not accept or reject the entire slate within sixty (60) days after the completion of the background checks and submission by the Selection Panel, all designated replacements shall be deemed appointed.
d. Each year the Mayor and each Councilmember may replace her or his assigned
person on the Selection Panel. Selection Panel members may serve up to five (5)
years.

4. With the exception of the first group of Commissioners which shall serve staggered
terms, the term for each Commissioner shall be three (3) years.

5. Commission members are limited to no more than two (2) consecutive terms, except that
a Commissioner serving a term of no more than one (1) year shall be allowed to serve
two (2) additional consecutive terms.

6. To effect a staggering of terms among the Commissioners, the duration of the first group
of Commissioners shall be determined by the Selection Panel as follows: Three (3)
regular members, including one (1) of the mayoral appointees, shall have an initial term
of three (3) years; two (2) regular members, including one (1) of the mayoral appointees,
shall have an initial term of two (2) years; two (2) regular members, including one (1) of
the mayoral appointees, shall have an initial term of four (4) years. The alternate member
appointed by the Selection Panel shall have an initial term of two (2) years and the
alternate member appointed by the Mayor shall have an initial term of three (3) years.

7. A vacancy on the Commission shall exist whenever a member dies, resigns, ceases to be
a resident of the City, is convicted of a felony, or is removed.

8. For vacancies occurring for reasons other than the expiration of a regular member’s term,
the Commission shall select one of the alternates to replace the regular member for that
regular member’s remaining term of office. If the alternate chosen to replace the regular
member was appointed by the Selection Panel, the Selection Panel shall appoint another
alternate. If the alternate chosen to replace the regular member was appointed by the
Mayor, the Mayor shall appoint another alternate.

9. All Commission members shall receive orientation regarding Department operations,
policies and procedures, including but not limited to discipline procedures for police
officer misconduct and failure to act. All Commission members shall receive training
regarding Procedural Justice, conflict resolution, national standards of constitutional
policing, best practices for conducting investigations, and other subject matter areas
which are specified by City ordinance.

10. The City Council may remove members of the Commission for cause as provided in
Section 601 of the Charter, or members of the Commission may be removed by a
majority vote of the Commission only for conviction of a felony, conviction of a
misdemeanor involving moral turpitude, a material act of dishonesty, fraud, or other act
of moral turpitude, substantial neglect of duty, gross misconduct in office, inability to
discharge the powers and duties of office, absence from three consecutive regular
Commission meetings or five regular meetings in a calendar year except on account of
illness or when absent by permission.

(d) Meetings, Rules and Procedures
1. The Commission shall meet at least twice each month unless it determines that one meeting is sufficient in a particular month. The Commission shall notify the public of the time and place of the meeting and provide time for public comment at each meeting. The Commission shall meet at least twice each year in locations other than City Hall.

2. The Commission shall establish rules and procedures for the conduct and operations of its business. Such rules shall be made available to the public.

3. Five (5) members shall constitute a quorum. If a quorum is not established by the regular members in attendance, the Chairperson of the Commission may designate one or more alternate members to establish a quorum and cast votes. Motions on all matters may be approved by a majority of those Commission members present.

(e) Budget and Staffing

1. The City shall allocate a sufficient budget for the Commission, including the Agency, to perform its functions and duties as set forth in this section, including budgeting at least one full-time-equivalent non-City Attorney legal advisor that is specifically charged with providing legal services to the Agency related to investigations and recommended discipline. The one full-time-equivalent non-City Attorney legal advisor shall be assigned by the City Attorney after consultation with the Chair of the Commission. The non-City Attorney legal advisor shall not in the regular course of his or her legal practice defend law enforcement officers and shall not participate in, nor serve as counsel to the City or any of its Council members or employees in defense of any lawsuit arising from any incident involving an Oakland police officer.

2. Within sixty (60) days of the City Council’s confirmation of the first group of Commissioners and alternates, the Oakland Citizens’ Police Review Board (hereinafter Board) shall be disbanded and its pending business transferred to the Commission and to the Agency. The Executive Director of the Board shall become the Interim Director of the Agency, and all other staff will be transferred to the Agency.

3. After the effective date of this Charter section, the Commission may identify special qualifications and experience that candidates for Agency staff positions must have. Candidates for future vacancies may be selectively certified in accordance with the Civil Service Personnel Manual, as may be amended from time to time; said selective certification shall be subject to discretionary approval by the City Administrator or his or her designee.

4. The staff of the Agency shall consist of no fewer than one line investigator for every one hundred (100) sworn officers in the Department, rounded up or down to the nearest one hundred (100). The number of investigators shall be determined at the beginning of each budget cycle based on the number of sworn officers employed by the Department the previous June 1. At least one investigator shall be a licensed attorney. The budget set-aside for such minimum staffing may be suspended for a fiscal year or two-year budget cycle upon a finding in the budget resolution that the City is facing an extreme fiscal necessity, as defined by City Council resolution.
5. The City Administrator shall assign a staff member to act as liaison to the Commission and to provide administrative support to the Commission.

6. Upon a vacancy, the Director of the Agency shall be hired by the City Administrator from among two (2) or three (3) candidates submitted by the Commission. By an affirmative vote of at least five (5) members, or by an affirmative vote of four (4) members with the approval of the City Administrator, the Commission may terminate the Director of the Agency. The Commission shall periodically conduct a performance review of the Agency Director. The Agency Director shall be classified as a Department head, and shall have the authority to hire and fire Agency staff, in consultation with the City Administrator.

7. Agency and Commission staff, with the exception of the Agency Director, shall be civil service employees in accordance with Article IX of the City Charter. Background checks shall be required for all Agency investigator applicants before they are hired by the Agency. Such background checks shall not be performed by the Department. Staff of the Board who are transferred to the Agency as discussed in section (e)(2) above shall not be subject to background checks.

8. No current or former sworn employee of the Department, or current official, employee or representative of an employee association representing sworn police officers, is eligible for any staff position in the Agency or the Commission.

(f) Investigations

1. Beginning sixty (60) days after the City Council’s confirmation of the first group of Commissioners and alternates, the Agency shall receive, review and prioritize all public complaints concerning the alleged misconduct or failure to act of all Department sworn employees, including complaints from Department non-sworn employees. The Agency shall not be required to investigate each public complaint it receives, beyond the initial intake procedure, but shall investigate public complaints involving uses of force, in-custody deaths, profiling based on any of the protected characteristics identified by federal, state, or local law, and First Amendment assemblies. The Agency shall also investigate any other possible misconduct or failure to act of a Department sworn employee, whether or not the subject of a public complaint, as directed by the Commission. The Agency shall forward a copy of each complaint received to the Internal Affairs Division of the Oakland Police Department within one business day of receipt.

2. Subject to applicable law, the Agency shall have the same access to all Department files and records, with the exception of personnel records, in addition to all files and records of other City departments and agencies, as the Department’s Internal Affairs Division (IAD). Access to personnel records shall be limited to the Agency Director who shall maintain confidentiality as required by law. The Department and other City departments and agencies shall make every reasonable effort to respond to the Agency’s requests for files and records within ten (10) days.
3. The Agency shall make every reasonable effort to complete its investigations within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the filing of the complaint with the Agency. Within thirty (30) days of completion of the investigation, the Director of the Agency shall issue written findings and proposed discipline regarding the allegations stated in the complaint to the Commission and the Chief of Police. The City Administrator shall not have the authority to reject or modify the Agency’s findings and proposed discipline.

4. To the extent allowed by law and after consultation with the Commission, the Agency shall forward information to other enforcement agencies, including but not limited to the Alameda County District Attorney, when such information establishes a reasonable basis for believing that a crime may have been committed by a sworn Department employee.

(g) Adjudication

1. If the Chief of Police agrees with the Agency’s findings and proposed discipline, he or she shall send to the subject officer notification of findings and intent to impose discipline. The Chief of Police may send such notification to the subject officer before IAD has begun or completed its investigation.

2. If the Chief of Police disagrees with the Agency’s findings and/or proposed discipline, the Chief of Police shall prepare his or her own findings and/or proposed discipline which shall be submitted to a Discipline Committee comprised of three Commissioners. The City Administrator shall not have authority to reject or modify the Chief of Police’s findings and proposed discipline. The Agency’s findings and proposed discipline shall also be submitted to the Discipline Committee which shall review both submissions and resolve any dispute between the Agency and the Chief of Police. Based solely on the record presented by the Agency and the Chief of Police, the Discipline Committee shall submit its final decision regarding the appropriate findings and proposed discipline to the Chief of Police who shall notify the subject officer. The City Administrator shall not have the authority to reject or modify the Discipline Committee’s final decision regarding the appropriate findings and level of discipline. The Discipline Committee shall not have the authority to conduct its own investigation.

3. If the Chief of Police prepares his or her own findings and proposed discipline and provides it to the Agency before the Agency’s investigation is initiated or completed, the Agency may close its investigation or may choose not to conduct its own investigation in order to allow final discipline to proceed as proposed by the Chief, except that if the Agency is required to conduct an investigation by subsection (f) above, the Commission must approve the Agency’s decision by a majority vote. If the Agency chooses not to close its investigation, imposition of final discipline shall be delayed until the Agency’s investigation is completed and the Agency makes its findings and recommendations for discipline. The Agency shall notify the Chief of its final decision regarding how it will proceed within five (5) business days of the Chief’s notice of completion of his or her investigation.

4. All employees are afforded their due process and statutory rights including Skelly rights. After the findings and imposition of discipline have become final, the subject officer shall
have the right to grieve/appeal the findings and imposition of discipline if such rights are prescribed in a collective bargaining agreement.

(h) **Enabling Legislation**

The Commission may make recommendations to the City Council for enacting legislation or regulations that will further the goals and purposes of this section 604. The City Council may, on its own initiative, enact legislation or regulations that will further the goals and purposes of this section 604. Once the Commission is seated, subsequent legislation or regulations shall be submitted to the Commission for review and comment. The Commission shall have forty-five (45) days to submit its comments to the City Council, such time to be extended only by agreement of the City Council.

**Section 2. Severability.** If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this Measure is for any reason held to be invalid or unconstitutional by decision of any court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of the Measure. The voters hereby declare that they would have passed this Measure and each section, subsection, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that one or more other sections, subsections, clauses or phrases may be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That in accordance with the Elections Code and Chapter 3.08 of the Oakland Municipal Code, the City Clerk shall fix and determine a date for submission of arguments for or against said proposed Charter amendment, and said date shall be posted by Office of the City Clerk; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That in accordance with the Elections Code and Chapter 3.08 of the Oakland Municipal Code, the City Clerk shall provide for notice and publication as to said proposed Charter amendment in the manner provided for by law; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED: That each ballot used at said municipal election shall have printed therein, in addition to any other matter required by law the following:

**PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENT**

| Measure ______. Shall Oakland's City Charter be amended to establish: (1) a Police Commission of civilian commissioners to oversee the Police Department by reviewing and proposing changes to Department policies and procedures, requiring the Mayor to appoint any new Chief of Police from a list of candidates provided by the Commission, and having the authority to terminate the Chief of Police for cause; and (2) a Community Police Review Agency to investigate complaints of police misconduct and recommend discipline? |
|---|---|
| Yes |  |
| No |  |

**REVISED 8-11-16**

1944550v2
FURTHER RESOLVED: That the City Clerk and City Administrator hereby are authorized and directed to take any and all actions necessary under law to prepare for and conduct the next municipal election and appropriate all monies necessary for the City Administrator and City Clerk to prepare for and conduct the next municipal election, consistent with law.

IN COUNCIL, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA,

PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

AYES – BROOKS, CAMPBELL WASHINGTON, GALLO, GUILLEN, KALB, KAPLAN, REID, AND PRESIDENT GIBSON MCELHANEY – 8

NOES – 

ABSENT – 

ABSTENTION – 

ATTEST: LATONDA SIMMONS
City Clerk and Clerk of the Council of the City of Oakland, California