

Date: 8/12/16

To: Planning Commission

From: Christina Ferracane, Planner III

Through: Robert Merkamp, Development Planning Manager

Case No.: PLN16-133, 285 and 301 12<sup>th</sup> Street (W12)

Subject: Supplemental Information Concerning Agenda Item No. 5 from the August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016 Planning Commission Meeting, which Was Continued to the August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2016 Special Planning Commission Meeting

Dear Commissioners:

On August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016, the Oakland Planning Commission heard presentations and public testimony regarding the proposed W12 project. The Commission voted to continue the item to the August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2016 Special Planning Commission meeting to provide the applicant and community members with more time to attempt to reach a compromise on outstanding issues of concern described in the August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016 Planning Commission staff report.

This memo contains additional public comments received after the August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016 Planning Commission meeting, and comments about the CEQA analysis (in letters from Adams, Broadwell, Joseph & Cardozo, dated August 2<sup>nd</sup> and August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016). These additional public comments are included as *Attachment A*. City staff and our environmental consultants have reviewed the comments. The City's response to the CEQA issues raised is included as *Attachment B*. Two public comment letters were received on the day after the August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016 Planning Commission meeting – one public comment letter expresses support for the project, and the other public comment letters reiterates concerns regarding the project that are addressed in the August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016 Planning Commission staff report. One public comment letter was received on August 11, 2016, and reiterates a concern regarding the relocation challenges of one of the current property owner's (Cochran and Celli) existing tenants (Amethod Public Schools), an issue also addressed in the August 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2016 Planning Commission report.

Staff continues to recommend the Planning Commission:

1. Affirm staff's environmental determination and adopt the attached CEQA findings and Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (SCAMMRP).

2. Approve the Major Conditional Use, Design Review and Vesting Tentative Parcel Map subject to the attached findings and conditions (including the Standard Conditions of Approval/Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (SCAMMRP)) contained in this Staff Report.

Attachments:

- A. Additional Public Comments
- B. City's Response to CEQA Related Comments